

Pro-Slavery

DR. H. A. RAMSAY'S

LETTER

TO

DR. JAMES BRYAN,

ON THE

SOUTHERN NEGRO, ETC.

FROM THE

PHILADELPHIA MEDICAL AND SURGICAL JOURNAL.

March 1, 1853.

PHILADELPHIA:

A. Hughes & Company, Printers,
PENN BUILDING.

1853.

2.00

THE
 NATIONAL
 BANK
 OF
 THE
 UNITED STATES
 OF AMERICA
 NEW YORK
 1880

Handwritten signature or text

PECULIARITIES OF THE NEGRO.

DEAR BRYAN:—I make it an invariable rule, in science, to declare no man's opinions erroneous until I have tested them in a proper crucible; consequently I am not wholly prepared to controvert your opinion with regard to the crossing of the white and black races, nor am I entirely impressed with the tenability of your position. It is true, in the South, we have any number of mulattoes, but no data have been preserved upon the question in issue; hence, I should forbear an appeal in that direction, as truth is of essential importance in a matter of such intrinsic interest. I will remark, however, that you seem to misconceive my point in the essay you so kindly noticed in your Journal of the 15th ult. When speaking of amalgamation, I was only discussing the intellection of the Negro, and adverted to amalgamation incidentally, and not with reference to a progressive perpetuation of the races in a physico-vital sense. While I am rigidly opposed to an amalgamation of the races upon physiological principles, I believe, like yourself, there is a natural repugnance to it, yet I am not insensible to a fact in natural history, which I conceive well established, *that the animal kingdom requires crossing to perpetuate their species, and a manifest violation of this law will terminate in a rapid declension of the animal race. This position refers only to the same race or species, not to consanguineous relationship; nor is it applicable to races of a different character which involve the mixing the different bloods; hence, you see I am rather inclined to your position, but not totally so, from a want of data.* I will illustrate my position in animal crossing, by a personal observational fact. In 1846 I purchased from a gentleman in Lincoln county, Georgia, a flock of fine goats, one male and four ewes. In the process of time I had many kids, as the female goat is quite prolific in this clime. I made it a rule to castrate all my male kids for table use, reserving the females for rearing. In 1848 I observed my stock began to degenerate, my kids were smaller, wanting in vigor,

W. B. Smith

and apparently puny; I turned out another kid *male*, but all to no purpose, so far as retrieving my lost ground in a generative sense was concerned. I saw evidently that my goats would become extinct, unless I adopted some speedy recuperative policy. I therefore procured a male goat of a different breed, and a manifest improvement in my stock was soon discovered. I did not retain my flock sufficiently long to have a complete consummation of my hopes, as I did not kill my *old male goat*, and disposed of the whole of them to a gentleman in the vicinity ere the entire flock exhibited renewed vigor from the crossing; but the gentleman to whom I sold castrated the original males and retained the one I had secured, which restored and regenerated the entire stock, and I have never seen a *healthier, more robust or vigorous* flock of goats than he has at this time. The same thing is observable in the raising of fowls, and the crossing of the feathered tribe is proverbial among the old ladies of the South; indeed, instances of its necessity are common in every poultry yard. The *hog, cow, sheep and horse*, we presume, are amenable to the same law. Now, if this law of crossing cannot be deviated from with impunity in animals, can it in man? We are inclined to think man cannot violate it without an infringement upon his primeval laws. We see it in every phase of life, where white persons of proximate consanguinity become allied, matrimonially, the progeny are defective, to some extent, in a physical or mental way. The same thing prevails with the Negro, as far as we know.

Intimately connected with this question is the subject of marriage, or in a Biblical sense, who may and who may not marry. The Levitical decrees, in our conception, are erroneous upon this ~~matter~~. Their plain intent was indubitably to prescribe that *blood relatives should not marry*; but they have run aground, to some extent, upon this point. For instance, the decree says, thou shalt not marry thy wife's sister; this is an error, there is no *blood relation with a man and his Sister-in-law*, and there is no scientific impediment to such a marriage. With first cousins there is a blood relationship and there is no excuse for such marriages, for they are apt to produce mental and physical degeneracy in the offspring. We merely advert to this subject, to

question

defend the scientific aspect of the question and correct a great and prevalent error, upon which the most learned divines have differed. A lady may marry her brother-in-law with moral and scientific impunity; a man may marry his sister-in-law with equal validity, for they are no *blood kin*; but first cousins, who are blood relations, should beware how they trample upon scientific precepts. I do not recollect seeing much of this *blood kin* marrying among our negroes, they are less inclined to it than whites; but I have no doubt but were they to infringe upon the consanguineous errors, it would produce the worst sort of *idiocy*, or *mental obtundity*. I knew one white man, (and I loathe his name!) who violated this sacred law with his daughter, the result was an idiotic child, I was informed. We demur at the Mosaic law, only upon scientific grounds, which we *believe* are impregnable. They were intended only for *blood kin* and no other, in our opinion; and this is the true philosophy of the question, founded upon physiological results.

We take it for granted, then, that a mixing of proximate bloods in the same race, is detrimental to its perpetuation, while a crossing of bloods in the same race that are not connected by consanguineous relationship, is equally essential to a perpetuation of races, in man and animals. The question of the crossing of the *white* and *black* is entirely a different issue, involving other opinions; it is a point of different races, and adverse bloods, not controlling the question of consanguineous mixing. In the South a mixing of *white* and *black* bloods is not an unusual occurrence; but how far this crossing controls the question of *vitality* and *perpetuation*, I am not able to say with any degree of certainty. The progeny of the negress by the *white man* generally marks the features of the father, more profoundly than those of the mother; and we observe the same thing in the *white woman*, who has had a successful congress with the male negro; at least this is the result of my observation; yet I will say, that it is not so common by far, here, for *white women* to have children by negro men, as it is for *white men* to beget them by a negress; and it is only the lowest class of females that indulge in such disreputable proceedings. Yet there are cases of it within my personal knowledge. I will here suggest some points relative to this question of mixing, in the

white and black, which may not have been noticed previously by writers upon the subject, from the fact that the negro issue has ever been a vexed question, upon which scientific men and politicians were loth to dwell; hence, the record upon many of the peculiarities of the race is sparse. It has been mentioned to me by intelligent and observing planters, that mulattoes were *shorter lived* than the pure race. How far the crossing of the races controls the question of vitality, I am not able to determine, as there are no statistics upon the point; but I am convinced the mulatto is more subject to *nervous disorders* than the negro, and he possesses a peculiar *constitutional erethism* which it is difficult to encounter, and hard to control, in disease. The mulatto is less *robust, more delicate, punier, and more capricious*, than the negro. In form, social qualities and intelligence, he is a superior being to the real Ethiopian; indeed, the real mulattoes of the South seem to have a sort of instinctive repugnance to the real negroes, and do not like, as a general rule, to associate with them. Making a short digression here, I will remark, a number of years since I became professionally acquainted with a family cross of the *Negro and Indian*—the latter gently preponderating. I have attended the mother in sickness, and in repeated attacks I was the attendant of the daughters, particularly one of them. The last mentioned was the hardest patient to cure I ever met; let her attack be mild or malignant, it seemed never to be much under the influence of medicine—there was a peculiarity of system inducing an arterial velocity, which I could never regulate or control by medication, and which I attributed to the peculiar physical organization of the patient, arising from the crossing of the bloods. I have never met a patient which so obstinately resisted the influence of remedies, who was untainted by blood crossing; nor have I ever seen a case, under any circumstances, which offered such a manifest indifference to prescription, and recover. I may have erred in the opinion I have given, but I have heard the same thing suggested by an intelligent practitioner who subsequently attended the same patient, and other members of the family, and I am deeply impressed with the conviction of its validity. But to our original point, that a *mixing of the white and black races would soon run out*. As previously said, this involves the question of differential races in

blood, as well as color. The admission of your position does not at all impair the palpable differences of anatomical structure in the two races, but merely exemplifies the physical integrity and superiority of one over the other. I am not, however, fully prepared to sustain or deny the ground you assume, yet I am somewhat leaning towards the conclusion, that a crossing of the bloods would merge the *negro in color* entirely into the white race, for reasons I have hinted at in a preceding part of this letter. The intelligence of the Negro would be improved, but in other respects, judging from observation, he would be infinitely worsted. The amalgam I should regard a very objectionable one, the coin would be spurious, and we are bound by all moral and physical principles to resist its introduction at every hazard, and to the last extremity.

This "*Negro concern*" is a sensitive matter in any phase; it is to be regretted it is a "*bone*" of contention, for it stultifies argument and suppresses observational facts. It is to be hoped a better day is at hand for us, in reference to the scientific investigation of this subject, and I trust, ere the revolution of another year, the Colleges of our country will take the necessary steps for establishing chairs in their respective schools, for its elucidation upon anatomical grounds, and independent of fanaticism. We have more to fear from fanatics in science and politics, North and South, than from all other sources, in the correct delineation of this question. We have ever thought, and now believe, *anatomical science* the legitimate plan for its correct *adjudication*. It is not to be presumed that you of Pennsylvania should be pro-slavery men, who have been reared amid the influences of general freedom; nor is it plausible, that we of the South, who have been raised under the auspices of slavery, should regard it an evil, or consider the Negro an equal. Although we were regarded, in the Southern parlance, a "*Fire-eater*" of the Democratic school, yet we are conservative enough to take a calm, candid and liberal view of the Negro question. This is the highest ground which can be assumed upon this vexed question, and we believe it is in consonance with the opinion of Dr. Nott, of Mobile, and the illustrious Dr. Cartright. For our own part, we would scorn to hold a being in servitude that we believed God had not specially adapted

for it. It has existed in all ages, and in the South we firmly think it rests by irretrievable fate, and would only be blotted out to the injury of the colored race, who are incompetent, from all investigations of experience, to perform the duties of independent people, owing to their physical differences of structure.

We are open to conviction.

If the Negro is naturally my equal, in intelligence, etc., and is capable of self-government, *why emancipate him?* But, until that can be proven, I maintain, as a scientific man, that I am morally bound to preserve him in humane servitude. This, Dear Bryan, is about the opinion of nine-tenths of our people; so go to work, upon a fair basis, and let us see who errs and who does not. But in examining this question of the races, we should not defend our points upon an isolated case, for there are modifying and exceptional circumstances in every condition of life. From the disjointed letter I have penned, you see I am not sufficiently posted to venture a categorical opinion upon the running out of the races upon the crossing of the blood of the white and black; but my positions may be reduced to the following order:

1st. That the crossing of the same species, disconnected by blood relationship, in man, animals and fowls, is essential to their perpetuation.

2d. That the crossing of the same species, proximately connected by blood, has a deleterious influence upon them.

3d. That the crossings of the white and negro might merge the black race into the white, but it would be infinitely worse for the negro.

4th. That the negro is physically superior to the mulatto, while the latter has more intelligence and prettier features.

5th. That amalgamation is objectionable, under any circumstances.

I might have added something more about the peculiarities of the morbid condition of the mulatto, but I have made this note already too prolix, and I shall reserve it for another time, should my leisure permit me to indulge in that way. Hoping this dull scroll may find you in the same *conservative mood* my pamphlet did, I subscribe myself with renewed assurances, in haste,

Your friend,

H. A. RAMSAY.