

To  
The Medical Faculty  
of  
The Medical University of Nashville  
Tennessee  
The  
Following Treatise upon  
Gum Shot Wounds and Their  
Treatment in certain cases  
is  
Most respectfully  
submitted  
by the  
Author

gun shot wounds and their treatment  
in certain cases.

There is probably no class of injuries that the surgeon is called upon to treat which presents so much variety as gun shot wounds; whether we consider the extent of injury or the amount of skill which may be required in their treatment. In the remarks which we submit for your consideration upon the subject we do not expect to retain gleanings from works on operating surgery; what authors have said upon the subject is already known to the reading physician. The object in view not being for the purpose of gainsaying, nor of calling in question the writings and opinions of others, but for the purpose of giving a synopsis of our own experience in the treatment of gun shot wounds. We have been induced to select this subject more particularly for the purpose of giving some cases which came under our treatment, and which we regard of great interest to the profession. The importance which we attach to the cases is founded on the character and extent of injury.

in each case, and the successful treatment  
of each case without amputation, altho  
amputation was thought by our consulting  
physicians to be the only means of saving  
of the patient's life. He entertained a different  
opinion. He shall now proceed to give the  
cases, and the treatment in detail.

Wyatt a stout muscular negro man aged 25 years  
and the servant of Mr. A. W. Vanleer; On the  
night of the 25<sup>th</sup> of June 1830 after having  
been chased by a pack of dogs a distance  
of three or four miles was overtaken by a  
Mr. Fornes (his pursuer), and shot. The shot took  
effect through the muscular portion of the  
right <sup>fore</sup> arm just below the elbow, several  
balls or slugs having passed through the <sup>limb.</sup> ~~arm~~  
The soft parts were torn away to the extent of three  
inches in length and in depth about two thirds  
of the arm; a small portion of common integument  
was left over the wound, and the radial artery,  
strange as it may appear, was left remaining  
entire and to all appearance uninjured; the  
radius was broken and about two and a half inches

carried away by the shot. The inferior third of the arm embracing of the ulnar bone, artery, nerves, &c were uninjured. In addition to the wound of the <sup>fore</sup> arm there was a slight flesh wound of the chest, but as this did not require treatment we shall not further allude to it.

When we first saw the patient, some six hours after the occurrence of the accident, he was very much exhausted from loss of blood, the arm bleeding at the time. Dr C. W. Cunningham, a very worthy gentleman, saw the patient some hours earlier and being unable to detect any pulse at the <sup>w.</sup> wrist, together with the extent of injury, as above stated, was thereby induced to give it as his opinion that an amputation of the arm was essential ~~to the life of the patient~~, an opinion however afterwards relinquished. We may here state that we were induced to attempt the treatment of the case without amputation for the following reasons. To save the limb, or at least to make the effort, was our duty, if by so doing the patient's life would not be endangered. We felt justifiable from the fact that the principal

arteries were undivided, and the patient was young and of vigorous constitution; finally, if we could not save the arm, the postponement of the operation (should it finally prove to be performed) till the line of demarcation would not be attended with any increase of danger to the patient; on the contrary ~~he~~ he would be farther removed from risk from the fact, if from no other consideration, he would have recovered from the shock and exhaustion consequent upon the loss of blood which of itself would increase the risk of an immediate operation. An attempt to save the arm being determined upon, the practice which followed was exceedingly simple and unvaried.

The patient's clothing was changed; the arm washed with cold water, after which the wound was filled with finely planed char-coal; a wet bandage was then applied to the limb beginning at the fingers and extending above the elbow. (No split-horn used) Brandy and quinine were then given an <sup>oz</sup> <sub>1</sub> of the former and about 5 gr of the latter; the dose <sup>to</sup> repeated at intervals of an hour till reaction

5

took place then discontinuing. The arm was carried across the chest and supported by a suspensory bandage as in cases of simple fracture of the <sup>fore-</sup> member. The patient was put upon a low diet, the bowels moved three or four times a day with small doses of Epsom salts; the <sup>wound</sup> was dressed twice a day by the removal of the bandage and thoroughly washing it with warm water and Castile-sap; after which the <sup>it</sup> was filled with char coal and the wet bandage applied as before; the bandage was kept wet with cold water between the periods of dressing. On the fifth day the bandage was dispensed with on account of its ~~inconveniences~~ of application, and a simple fold of linen used in its stead; this was kept wet as had been the bandage. Strong oak oze was occasionally used as a wash. The above treatment was continued without any alteration worthy of note till the 23<sup>rd</sup> of July following at which time the patient had so far recovered as not to require any farther aid from us.

Remarks. The pulse never rose above eighty

The wound never supurated but very little  
; pain at no time severe enough to require an opiate  
; appetite for food remained good through out  
; no bond of union ever took place between  
the fragments of the radius; as the wound  
cicatrized the hand was drawn upon the  
wrist towards the wound, but as this was unavoidable  
no attempt to prevent it was made. By the  
time the cicatrization was complete the hand had  
become considerably drawn upon the wrist, and  
notwithstanding the deficiency of bone and  
the deformity of hand which followed the  
healing of the wound, Wyett could use the arm  
and hand with astonishing dexterity; being  
a fiddler, <sup>he</sup> could use the fiddle and the bow  
as in former days. To complete Wyett's history,  
he was lodged in jail for the crime for which  
he had been shot, was twice sentenced to be  
hanging and was finally acquitted, having proved  
himself too much for the power of powder and  
bullet, the science of physic and the learning of  
the law, clearly establishing the truth of the adage  
that it is better to be born lucky than born rich.

we shall now present the second case for  
your consideration.

Mr Hunter & Van Leer aged 22 years of slender  
form and delicate constitution, a native of  
Pennsylvania, having only been in the  
state of Limoges a few months.

On the afternoon of the 6<sup>th</sup> of April 1833, Mr  
Van Leer in company with a friend was  
amusing of himself in a squirrel hunt: he  
was carrying ~~with~~ his gun cocked so as to be ready  
at any moment should game present itself  
; seeing a squirrel he fired one barrel <sup>with</sup> ~~and~~  
~~success;~~ he then walked up to where the squirrel  
was laying, placed the breach of the gun on  
the ground with his left hand resting on  
the muzzle (the gun still being cocked) and in  
this awkward manner stooped down to pick up  
the squirrel. While in the act of stooping the  
gun <sup>went off</sup> ~~and~~ the entire contents of the barrel  
passing through the <sup>fore</sup> and mangling ~~it~~ it in a shocking  
~~manner~~, breaking ~~both~~ both bones, and carrying away both  
bone and muscle for a space of more than two inches. The only

parts escaping injury at this portion of  
the arm were the ulnar artery, nerve and two small  
portions of common integument; the radial artery  
and nerve were divided. The shot in passing  
through the arm were divided a part being  
reflected towards the chest, and but for the fortunate  
circumstance of Mr Van Leeu having his  
watch in his vest pocket at the time he  
must have been killed on the spot; the number  
of shot which struck the watch must have  
been considerable and they must have struck  
the watch with great force as it was mangled flat  
and the side of the chest was severely bruised  
by the watch, fortunately no shot entered the  
body. The remainder of the shot together with  
paper wadding and particles of the patient's clothing  
were driven into the humerus a little below  
the insertion of the tendon of the deltoid muscle  
passing upward and backward and becoming lodged  
under the posterior border of that muscle; the  
bone was evidently injured as well as the soft parts  
but to what extent we were never able to  
ascertain. Mr Van Leeu lost a great deal

of blood and certainly must have bled  
to death but for the assistance of his trusting  
companion Mr G J Nixon who was at hand  
and had the presence of mind and good sense  
to bind a handkerchief tightly around  
the arm above the elbow and over the second  
wound. In this condition Mr Van Leer was  
placed upon a gentle horse and taken home or  
rather to the farm house a distance of at least  
one mile. Some four hours after the accident  
we saw the patient, he was very much  
exhausted, and in great agony of pain; we give  
him some stimulants and about 25 drops  
of Laudanum; in thirty minutes we  
repeated the dose. The patient becoming  
a little revived we proceeded to undress him  
and to wash and dress the wounds, which  
we were a good while in doing, having  
to repeat the stimulants and opiates and  
await their effects, a slight exudation  
of blood from the wounds followed  
the dressing which was all the

Remorse with which we had to contend  
Treatment for the night consisted  
in placing the patient in the recumbent  
posture upon a suitable bed with  
the arm resting upon a pillow  
against the patient's side with a wet  
sophie over the wounds. The patient was  
then covered with blankets. Stimulants  
and opiates were given through the night  
at intervals of from one to two hours  
; the patient slept but very little  
April 5<sup>th</sup> 11 o'clock A.M. Pulse 120 and full  
; considerable thirst with a disposition  
to vomit, cold water and a little wine  
were given. The thirst subsided to some  
extent, and the stomach became composed  
some chicken water was then given and retained  
The bowels moved by an enema of oil and gruel  
Cold wet clothes to the arm, and opiates  
wine, and chicken water were continued  
through the day and night following  
April 6<sup>th</sup> Patient rested better and slept  
better than on the previous night

On the morning of the 8<sup>th</sup> Mr. C. H. Porter M.D. Professor of Anatomy in the Medical University of Nashville met us in consultation. Dr. Porter was of opinion that an immediate amputation was the only means of saving of the life of the patient. On the contrary we were of opinion that to amputate was to sever the only thread upon which life was then suspended.

Dr. Porter insisted that it was impossible for the first injury (that of the fore arm) to heal, the idea that a bond of union could take place under the circumstances, with the principal artery and nerve divided, the entire muscular substance as well as bone torn away to the extent of some inches was preposterous and to attempt the treatment without amputation was to say the best he could for it empirical. Seeing ~~that~~ that the Professor had taken his position from which he would not be moved we asked

him the time to operate his answer  
was immediately. We then asked him  
at what point he would amputate. His  
answer to this question was that he would  
amputate at the inferior point of the  
upper third of the humerus. To all of  
which we objected. We stated to the Professor  
that we regarded the case as an anomaly in  
surgery and that no rule hitherto laying  
down by surgical authors could be adopted  
in the management of the case under  
consideration. If we amputated at  
the point he suggested we could only remove  
one wound, leaving two to treat, one  
of the arm, and <sup>one</sup> of the chest, not only so  
but we thought that he would be as hard  
preposse for authority justifying of him  
in amputating as we in treating of it  
without an operation; especially so  
important an operation as the amputation  
of an arm using as he would have to do  
the mangled and burnt flesh of a gun  
shot wound as a flap to cover the stump.

were he to performe the operation at  
the point suggested (a description of this  
point has already been given); again if you  
change the point of operation to the  
shoulder joint there would be nothing  
gained for there the same difficulty  
as regards the flap exists in addition to  
this the patients system must suffer  
a greater shock from a high operation  
than from a point farther removed  
from the body, and in our patient we  
had to contend with an extensive injury  
of the chest corresponding to the  
injured arm farther than this in view  
of the amount of blood already lost we  
did not believe the patient could survive  
an operation even if it were desirable.

Finally we told the Professor that  
if there was empiricism at all it  
consisted in the idea of an amputation  
and that we were positively opposed to  
amputation in view of all the circumstances

Sr Porter persisted in his views notwithstanding our opinions to the contrary. The good sense of the Dr's opinion we did not see at the time and frankly confess that we have not been able till the present altho' nearly four years have since elapsed to tell the Sr. That if the patient and friends desired him to operate he could do so, but <sup>with</sup> full respect to him and his opinions we declined our assistance in the operation or of having anything farther to do with the case provided such a course was adopted while we were willing to grant to him the right of opinion we claimed the same right for ourselves and under the circumstances he could not reasonably expect of us co-operation and assistance. It was then determined to state to the patient and friends our respective opinions. The choice fell to our lot and we took full charge of the patient to treat the case as best we could without amputation; we felt the task to be truly

a forlorn hope. We will now state  
as briefly as convenient the treatment  
which followed &c &c

Two things were desirable, first to place  
the patient and limb in as comfortable  
a condition as possible second to have the  
arm so arranged as to enable us to apply  
the necessary dressings with as little  
disturbance to the limb and patient as  
was possible also to protect the beding  
from becoming soiled through the continued  
application of water to the arm.

The patient already occupied a suitable  
bed the deficiency consisted in a suitable  
apparatus for the arm. We had recourse to  
the own ingenuity and were so fortunate  
as to be able to construct one which  
answered the purpose admirably well  
and it performed so important a part  
in the after treatment it is necessary that  
we should give a description of it, tho' this  
will be imperfect without a drawing

18

A broad solid block of wood some four feet in length furnished at one end and against the side with two standards reaching as high as the edge of the bed and perforated with an equal number of holes through which a movable bolt was passed to the other end of the block was fastened an up its slot extending some two feet higher than the bed, the slot was furnished with a hook one foot from its upper extremity.

The apparatus for the arm was a right angled hot the length of the patients arm; the shoulder extremity was furnished with two broad strips of linen three feet in length, the digital extremity was furnished with one long strip of linen; the bottom of the hot at its angle was perforated, the edges of the <sup>hot</sup> from one end to the other were furnished with a border of cloth made fast with tucks, next were cropp strips of linen which were fastened to the bordering and permitted in croping over the hot to nearly touch the

The bottom of the box, these strips were about two inches in width and <sup>in</sup> crossing the box their edges were overlapped one third. The apparatus being now constructed, the first piece was placed along by the side of the bed so as that the near standard and the slot were touching the bed-rail; the box was then placed with the shoulder extremity at a right angle with, and resting on the bed. The angle of the box resting on the bolt in the standards; the radial extremity of the box being horizontal to the bed, and its digital extremity suspended by means of the strip of tape carried over the upright slot and fastened to the work prepared for the purpose.

Having arranged the apparatus the patient was then placed near the edge of the bed the arm was carried into the box; soft morsel folds were placed by the side of the arm, and folds of linen on it and crop strips retained the arm in its place.

The shoulder strips were carried around  
the shoulders of the patient in the form  
of the figure of 8. The advantage of  
these shoulder strips was to keep the  
patient from pulling on the arm, in the  
~~Drop~~ strips we had both support and  
protection, when it was desirable to  
elevate or depress the elbow, this was readily  
done by moving the bolt in the standards  
placing of it higher or lower as was desired  
and were wished to elevate or lower the hand,  
this was done by taking up or letting out  
the tape as was desirable, the hook holding  
of it at whatever elevation was desired  
; the holes in the bottom of the box permitted  
the escape of all the water used in bathing  
and washing of the arm, thus equipped  
we could apply any quantity of water  
and to any portion of the arm without  
soiling of the bed or in the least wise discom-  
moding of the patient.

The patient was kept upon a low diet  
the nervous system kept quiet by an occasional

powdered of morphine, a pill of opium or a few drops of Sardineum, the bowels were kept open by Epsom salts, or Siddle's powder, and occasionally an emulsion of oil and gruel so as to ensure one to two motions in twenty four hours, cold water was used freely for the first eight or ten days; suppuration had by this time set in pretty freely. The cold water was discontinued; warm water and castile soap were used for cleansing of the wounds after each washing. The wounds were filled with finely pulverised char-coal, and folds of old linen wet in a maylage of the male fern and applied to the entire arm. About the fifteenth day the shoulder and upper wound and the side of the chest assumed an erysipelatous inflammation which was soon checked by the internal use of salts and a solution of sugar of lead externally. The wound in the fore arm was not implicated.

Remarks. The pulse rose during the erysipelaic inflammation to 140. The average pulse was 90 during treatment. The wound in the fore arm healed more rapidly than the other. The suppuration was also less copious, we presume from the fact the wound was open rendering the process of cleansing and the removal of foreign bodies easy while that of the humerus had but one opening and that small at the same time the wound contained for some length of time short bits of paper, and clothing, which on account of the whims of the patient were permitted to remain longer than they should have done. The shot were readily felt under the skin behind the shoulder and permitted to remain till an abscess formed in the axilla when we no longer hesitated; a lance was put into the abscess, and a history in the shoulder over the shot, a portion were removed and first and last some forty, still leaving some behind. The bits of

Clothing, paper wadding &c were removed during the progress of suppuration.

All the time of treatment the temperature of the room was kept regular. All company; except the nurses and occasionally a friend, were excluded. Our labors were incessant day and night, aided by a servant and two very efficient nurses Misses Hugh Kirkman and G G Dixon.

Finally on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of July following a little less than three months from the receipt of the accident we discharged our patient so far convalescent as not to require our further aid. Jan 1<sup>st</sup> 1834

Patient well the arm two inches shorter than its fellow

Mr Van Leer has at this time the use of all his joints even the fingers, hand, wrist, elbow and shoulder. The arm is not as strong as before the injury but sufficient so for all practical purposes Jan 1<sup>st</sup> 1834

We had intended in the commencement  
of this treatise to have given one or two  
more cases but having already transcended  
our limits are constrained to desist  
In conclusion we subscribe our self  
Yours most respectfully

J Mc Larkin