Women's Wisdom on Solidarity and Differences (On Not Rescuing the Killers)

EMILIE M. TOWNES

We do not sweat and summon our best in order to rescue the killers; it is to comfort and to empower the possible victims of evil that we do tinker and daydream and revise and memorize, and then impart all that we can of our inspired, our inherited humanity.

THESE WORDS ARE from the black feminist theorist and writer June Jordan

i have been pondering them in relation to this panel and also what they may have to say in reminding me why i do what i do

and how

and in what ways

for me, to talk about standing with one another

to conjure solidarity across differences

to spark women's wisdom on solidarity and differences

is, at first glance

(and i must admit on several glances

looks

mullings later)

to tempt the agony of the absurd

i feel as though i have been cast back in time

to that 60s cocktail party in which Ralph Ellison

the author of Invisible Man

spoke in "clipped, deliberate syllables" to his peers

"Show me the poem, tell me the names of the opera/the symphony that will stop one man from killing another man and then maybe" he gestured toward the elegant bejeweled assembly with his hand that held a cut-crystal glass of scotch—"just maybe some of this can be justified."

i am relieved to say that tempting the agony of the absurd

does not leave me in Ellison's condemnatory despair

but it does leave me in a frustrated hope

a hope that is imbued with Jordan's words

as they echo

"we do not sweat and summon our best in order to rescue the killers"

there are days, in fact,

that i'll be damned if i rescue any killer

or someone even approaching such a grotesque status

to work in solidarity with those who are like me

unlike me

or resemble me

does not demand or require that i save those who would see others dead or annihilated

either through neglect

indifference

calculation

or theo-ethical musings

i will not rescue the killers

of dreams and visions of a world better than this

of hopes that continue to pulse, however faintly, in the midst of disaster and ruin

i will not rescue the killers

who create optional reading lists

that signal to me

that some actual or alleged scholars really believe that there are optional peoples, cultures, lives, ideas, hopes, realities

and secondary lists are little better

when they traffic people's yearnings and expectations as ideologies and abstractions

i will not rescue the killers

who remain silent when the innocent are murdered

and it is called patriotism or cleansing or white male rage

when people starve on our streets

while there is more than enough food for everyone to eat three squares a day and at least one snack

when children die unloved and unwanted and thrown away

and we shake our collective pious heads and shut the doors of homes and our hearts, our churches, our seminaries

when money determines right and wrong

good and evil
unity and dissent
diversity and blandness
hope and despair

promise and lies damnation and salvation

no, absolutely no, i will not rescue the killers

when the church functions like an efficient corporation

and numbers and spaces in parking lots and the joy of multiple worship services

serve as the markers for spirit and love and mercy and justice

hear me now, i will not rescue the killers

when the academy devolves into gigantic public holding pens for creativity and intellect

in other words

for me and my house

standing with others across differences

does not require that i be run over in a mad teleological drive toward a misbegotten notion of solidarity

that i accept a specious deontological notion of a disinterested love

that asks us to sacrifice our very souls

so that others may find comfort and ease in the macabre spectacle of our collective self abnegation

or the obliteration of whole peoples

womanist wisdom on solidarity does not find it acceptable that i acquiesce to a least common denominator justice

that is really no justice at all

it does not require that i check my passions

my insights

my communities

at the door to enter the hall of kumbaya

and if there is any wisdom that can come

from this black woman

on notions of solidarities and differences

it is that

to engage in such work is absolutely dangerous it may, in fact, not be good for one's health at all

it can lead to heart and soul-ache

it can make us old before our time

it can make us eat and drink too much or too little

of all the unhealthy things

it can turn us bitter and sarcastic

it can make us ornery and mean as a snake

it can turn justice into vengeance

it can turn us into killers

but the danger does not stop here

it is dangerous because it means that we refuse the emotional numbing panaceas

of acquisition and status and privilege and competitive spirit that does not seek excellence, only winning

we see through the straw figure of a free market (whatever that is)

and speak with increasing precision and accuracy about the impact of transnationals

from agribusiness to munitions to clothing manufacturers

to western tastes and cultures passing themselves off as neutral or the markers of progress

we become dangerous when

we speak the truth that the king is naked

when it comes to the U.S. prison industrial complex

when folk hide behind conveniently literal interpretations of scripture that support their views

on homosexuality, abortion, the roles of women and men, the place of clergy and laity, the pillaging of the environment, religious traditions that are not christianity, and just about anything else

except individual and corporate sinning in the name of individualism and the alleged common good

yes, this is a naked butt king

when it comes to public policy that is really the personal agenda of moralizing rhetoricians

> who are dangerous because they now hold elected office and someone believed that they should bring us back to the good old days

> > that were, for many of us, deadly days

no i am not here for the killers

when it comes to solidarity

which i assume is another way to say justice

i am not interested in them

except for how to decrease their numbers

and their power

i have no wish to be objective about their behavior, methods, ideologies, or strategies

when i do the work of justice

it is with and as an advocate for the victims as well as a victim myself

actual

possible

imagined

of evil

it is subjective, it is emotional, it is passionate, it is *very* interested and if i cannot find others who are not interested and committed to this

then there is no solidarity

and our differences not only separate us

they make us adversaries

or enemies

in other words, for me, i do not assume solidarity

when i join others in the work of justice

solidarity is something that is nurtured and grown

in the yearning for and living out of justice

solidarity comes from hard work

listening

hearing

analyzing

questioning

rethinking

accepting

rejecting

it comes from a place of respecting and being respected

and that, i think, does not come easily or naturally for most of us if it were so natural, then we wouldn't be in the fix we are trying to get out of

for to respect others

means we must also respect ourselves

and centuries of inherited messages about the inherent evil of humanity

(with a large measure of this brutalizing swill aimed at women)

poses a wall of judgment and condemnation

that is hard for many of us to scale

so as we seek to work together, we must always be working on ourselves and perhaps this is where the comforting begins

as each of us has that dawning and then awakening in us

that the point is not some religious version of perfection

but that we *live* our humanity with passion and vigor—regardless

that we live our lives in justice and hope and even love—relentlessly

that we recognize that none of us has the corner on righteousness that we are the ones we have been waiting for

and ultimately, there is no one to do this work for us this, then, is the first light of empowerment

when we realize that we cannot do the work of justice to end structural injustice

by individual acts of valor and conviction alone they may help, to be sure

but tackling structural evil takes a whole bunch of folks with varieties of skills and insights

because structures of domination rarely come in such pristine forms as

circles

triangles

rectangles

or rhomboids

no, structures of domination are like demonic ink blots

they have cores

but the splatter marks are far and wide and absolutely dangerous

and can cause so much collateral damage that it disfigures and maims

to speak of solidarity

to conjure standing anywhere together

is, then, to tempt the agony of the absurd

but frankly, i simply don't know what else to do

and remain faithful

and although Jordan's description of tinkering, daydreaming, revising, and memorizing

does not sit well for this womanist ethicist

i do believe in strategizing, envisioning, challenging, debunking, and transforming

but always with an eye to sharing and receiving the dignity and gift of humanity and creation

this means that a solidarity seeking the status quo is not one i can embrace

a solidarity that teaches a studied silence that rewards blind, thought-less, clueless obedience

and punishes vital curiosity

is not one that i can come near

a solidarity that only tolerates oppositional knowledge on playgrounds, streets, homes, popular culture

but never in strategy sessions or in policy development or curriculum design

is not a solidarity that is actually concerned about justice and it does not deserve our time

but it does need to be watched, monitored, like a hawk and if need be, be destroyed

what ever wisdom i have on solidarity and differences

has been crafted from the hard experiences

of learning over and over again

that just because folk espouse solidarity does not mean they either know it or mean it

that there are many good works being done to bring in justice

but that there is only one of me

and that i must, as each of us must

make some choices about who we stand in solidarity with and how we will or will not deal with the differences

that can enrich us

challenge us

deny us

destroy us

but to remember also that we must not take so long to choose

that the choice gets made by our indecision or inaction we may choose wisely or foolishly

but the point is that we develop the ability to recognize where our actions are leading us

and where we have actually gone

and reformulate and assess on a continual basis

if we are truly working for justice or if we have fallen into co-optation

or complicity

or betrayal

there are always options

i've learned this from the trickster tradition in my culture

but they cut both ways and sometimes even slice and dice like veg-o-matic

to move beyond the tight circle that we often seem caught in that is hollowed out by conservatism and liberalism

means that we stop collapsing difference and diversity and plurality

and all those terms we use to signal humanity and creation is large

into such neat and pristine buzz words

and instead realize that

we will not always agree

there will be times of reasoned (and unreasoned) dissent that we may *not* be able to work

together on everything or every issue

sometimes it is to recast

from our worldviews

the things we've learned through the years

but even as small children:

the police are not always your friend

it is *not* always wise to wait to cross at a corner

or even to cross only at corners

in other words, there are few absolutes in life

and solidarities and differences are just as caught up in this reality as episodes or steady diets of disaster and ruin

no, i do not sweat and summon whatever best there is in me to rescue the killers

but i do try to give all of who i am to the work for justice and hang in there with others who recognize that solidarities and differences are messy

and ultimate human

and in some small way this marks our humanity
and turns the absurdities
into living, breathing, active hope



Copyright and Use:

As an ATLAS user, you may print, download, or send articles for individual use according to fair use as defined by U.S. and international copyright law and as otherwise authorized under your respective ATLAS subscriber agreement.

No content may be copied or emailed to multiple sites or publicly posted without the copyright holder(s)' express written permission. Any use, decompiling, reproduction, or distribution of this journal in excess of fair use provisions may be a violation of copyright law.

This journal is made available to you through the ATLAS collection with permission from the copyright holder(s). The copyright holder for an entire issue of a journal typically is the journal owner, who also may own the copyright in each article. However, for certain articles, the author of the article may maintain the copyright in the article. Please contact the copyright holder(s) to request permission to use an article or specific work for any use not covered by the fair use provisions of the copyright laws or covered by your respective ATLAS subscriber agreement. For information regarding the copyright holder(s), please refer to the copyright information in the journal, if available, or contact ATLA to request contact information for the copyright holder(s).

About ATLAS:

The ATLA Serials (ATLAS®) collection contains electronic versions of previously published religion and theology journals reproduced with permission. The ATLAS collection is owned and managed by the American Theological Library Association (ATLA) and received initial funding from Lilly Endowment Inc.

The design and final form of this electronic document is the property of the American Theological Library Association.