p. 173: La photo en bas est de la tablette X.32.Rev.

P. 183: Le fait que Yahdun-Lim contrôle Kahat doit, peutêtre, être mis en rapport avec la victoire de Yahdun-Lim sur Šamši-Addu à Nagar, cf. IOS III (1973), p. 14.

p. 184, § 4.1: L'alternance lè r (dans le nom Zal/rwar)

est fréquente dans la langue d'Ebla.

§ 4.4, XXII.167.R.4'-5': En tenant compte du modeste envoi šuḥalān nous pensons qu'il ne s'agit pas du roi de Oatanum, mais d'un simple individu, et en conséquence nous proposons de corriger LUGAL en LÚ, et cf. en effet 4RM(T) XXIII, pp. 350, 353.

p. 192, XIX.337: Cf. ARM(T) XXI, p. 222.

p. 195, n. 22: Pour la lecture de la séquence HÚB-TIL-LÁ à lire GUR-ME, voir ARM(T) XXI, pp. 240-242.

p. 257: Pour le nom dIškur-lú-til ajouter ARM(T) XXIII.

101.7'.

p. 262, M. 11500.10: A transcrire na-aš-pa-ki-im, cf. CAD N2, p. 66b.

p. 280, M. 10412.6: Corriger 5 en 6.

Pour terminer ce compte-rendu il ne nous reste qu'à remercier les savants qui ont participé à ce beau recueil des études sur Mari et qui nous ont enrichis de tant de nouveaux renseignements.

Tel-Aviv, juillet 1985

Moshé Anbar

p.s. Voici l'ordre des mois de l'année éponymique établi par D. Charpin (M.A.R.I. IV [1985], pp. 244-247: I. Niqmum, II. Kiūnim, III. Tamhirum, IV. Nabrûm, V. Mammītum, VI. Mana, VII. Ayyarum, VIII. Addarum (= Nisan), IX. Maqrānum, X. Dumuzi, XI. Abum, XII. Tīrum.

ARCHIVES ROYALES DE MARI, XXI: Textes administratifs des salles 134 et 160 du Palais de Mari, par Jean-Marie DURAND. Paris, Librairie Orientaliste Paul Geuthner, 1982 (24 cm.,  $v_1 + 154$  pls.) = Textes Cuneiformes de Mari, V.

ARCHIVES ROYALES DE MARI, XXI: Textes administratifs des salles 134 et 160 du Palais de Mari. Transcrits, traduits et commentés par Jean-Marie DURAND. Paris, Librairie Orientaliste Paul Geuthner, 1983 (24 cm., x + 596 pp.).

ARCHIVES ROYALES DE MARI, XXII. Volumes 1 & 2: Documents administratifs de la salle 135 du Palais de Mari. Transcrits et traduits par Jean-Robert KUPPER. Paris, A.D.P.F., Editions Recherche sur les Civilisations, 1983 (24 cm., III + 618 pp., 5 pls.). ISBN 2 86538 058 0. Price: 93 F. per volume.

ARCHIVES ROYALES DE MARI, XXIII: Archives administratives de Mari 1. Publiées pour le Cinquantenaire de Mari par Guillaume BARDET, Francis JOANNÈS, Bertrand LAFONT, Denis SOUBEYRAN, et Pierre VILLARD. Paris, A.D.P.F., Editions Recherche sur les Civilisations, 1984(24 cm., VI + X + 651 pp.). ISBN 2 86538 074 2. Price: 110 F.

The volumes under review appeared over a three year period and although I have had ARM XXI on my desk for as long a time. I cannot say that this review will do full justice to the amazing wealth contained in these volumes. For only those assyriologists who have left this planet will have failed to notice that a major effort has been unfolding in the past few years to edit the large number of as yet unpublished Mari documents, an effort that is primarily due to Jean-Marie Durand and Dominique Charpin, and which includes a rich harvest of studies in the new annual MARI: ANNALES DE RECHERCHES INTERDISCIPLINAIRES (acronym: MARI) as well as in more established scholarly journals such RA. Already a dissertation based on XXI (actually also XXII) has been defended (Bryan E. Beyer, Aspects of Religious Life at Ancient Mari as Seen through a Study of Archives Royales de Mari 21. HUC, 1985), and I am sure that there is grist here for many future mills.

The volumes under review differ in the scope of their contributions. Kupper transliterates and translates 342 documents retrieved from one single room. His comments are confined to a few pages of Notes (612-618), although he published some remarks on the calendar in light of the texts he edited in MARI 3 (1984), pp. 181-184. His index is full and includes a helpful catalogue that gives a date for each document. However, even since Kupper gave the ms. of XXII to the printers, many joins were made with materials found elsewhere in the palace. Moreover, many texts in XXI and XXII were collated when used in the diverse commentaries to XXIII. A list of texts so used is found XXIII, pp. 639-40. N.B.: In my comments below, I assume that the list in XXIII will be consulted and indicate only the moments when it needs further

elaboration.

Durand is, so far, alone to publish autograph and his edition includes texts that were recovered from two rooms. However, the directors of XXIII have abandoned the scheme of collecting documents by rooms because one cannot as yet determine the function of rooms wherein tablets were recovered but also because many documents with obvious thematic continuity were found in rooms distant from each other and seemingly belonging to distinctly separate quarters within the palace. The first of these reasons, it seems to me, is not very cogent; for it is our problem, and not the Mari architect's, if we do not quite understand his living arrangements. The second argument, however, is much more defensible, yet I would have wished that the editors placed the room number when heading each text, rather than leaving it to catalogue entries in the last pages. For there is yet much for us to learn about the habits of the ancient bureaucrat. One notes for example, that the tablets in room 135 (XXII) come generally from ZL.'s early years, 1'-3', to which is added a smattering of texts from earlier rulers. Such an observation is more difficult to make in the case of XXIII; while this volume is likewise rich in texts from the same year - indeed years ZL 1'-2' are now well stocked thanks to XXII-XXIII there is a healthy number that can be added to year 4'. It remains striking, however, that this major infusion of dated texts nevertheless has hardly diminished the darkness in which all but one (12') of ZL's last years. Perhaps those archives, after all, remain in other locations within the palace.

The editors of XXIII allude to yet one more reason for dispensing with publication of texts according to room

Sumu of Ilanşura within a few years after Zimri-Lim became king, and certainly within a couple of years of his marriage to a Siptu. 68' "Yasim-hammu, the suqāqum of the king [cf. LUGAL! in XXIII:446:54') of Ŷabliya." 334. There is here an interesting variation for the yearname 4'; cf. also XXIII:406 (and p. 311). 350. i.10. What does it mean that women from various GNs are called "princesses"? The last two signs are unclear, and it is not likely that they read MES LUGAL. Many of the names can be reconstructed from TEM IV. ii.2 diškur-ba-aš-ti; 4 bu-uh-ta-ni; v.2e-la-an-[ša-ki]; 14IGI.NU!.DU<sub>8</sub>; 16a-pil- $\langle i_3 \rangle$  $li_2$ -šu; vi.3 Maybe ITI e-bu'-[ri]-im. 353 dates to the YL period, see below sub XXII:123. 375. 3'. [šu-bu-ul-]tum cf. 364:6; for 18' see also 364:11. 379. For PNs cf. TEM IV ii.7f. hence read ha-li-ia[-ma] and ya-pa-[ha-tim] in ii.13',15'. 381. 44' in light of 382:ii.30'-33', read the PN as ip-qu<sup>2</sup>- $\{u_2^2\}$ -d ša- $\langle la \rangle$ . 382. Col.i. is equivalent to rev. of XXIII:240. Cf. XXIII, p. 219f.

386 belongs to a type of memorandums, the study of which begins with F. Joannès's good pages on the assumclause types in XXIII, pp. Other categories include those texts: which end in an assum clause (e.g. XXIII:84); which sandwich an assum clause (e.g. XXI:90; 101); which begin with ša (VII:260) and which contain orders to be fulfilled upon receipt of documents (XXII:283; XXIII:593). Additionally we have aide-memoires for meteorological observations. Our text apparently belongs to yet another category which includes notes kept to recall or assign tasks shared by colleagues in the same bureau (XXI:386; XXIII:561, 562, perhaps also XXIII:72 and XXII:332). As such, these texts are not necessarily narrative in continuity and indeed may skip radically between topics and even time-frames. In his study mentioned above, Joannès tries to date the memorandums published in XXIII, but recognizes that we do not have the tools available to confidently assign them secure locations. It should not be surprising, therefore, if one proposes schemes other than those he gives on p. 92 (89-91, 94 can easily be moved to the ZL column). But more important is the rule of thumb that needs to be adopted in offering translations for the assum memorandums. My own is to presume that whenever one finds assum, a new clause begins (see J.'s fine overview of the syntax, pp. 87-88), and this forces alternate understandings of what activities were at stake. This is not to say that these activities were necessarily unconnected, but that it is impossible now to be categorical about the perimeters for each entry within a given memorandum. Thus, in 91, I find reference to 5 separate activities: "Re: the Babylonian troops matter. Re: Hana deliberations. Re: going up to Yamhad. Re: travelling by Haneans for the Terqa sacrifices. Re: Apqum...." In 92, I distinguish 4: "Re: Berry-trees for the ikkarum. Re: talking to Mukannišum about the malisû of silver. Re: the matter of Ahi-malik, about which they spoke to the king. Re: writing to Yaqqim-Addu and Kibri-Dagan. 102, on the other hand, is a collation of two metereological events, one in Saggaratum, the other in Mari. Although they occurred at different hours, I think that they were from the same day, hence restore U4.4.KAM in 1. 1. The main point here is that rain fell on Saggaratum, where the king was staying, "as if it were springtime"; while Mari remained dry. A good omen, very likely.

XXI:386 deals with the transfer of females and is difficult

to translate whether one understands the verbal forms as 2psm or as 3psf (as does Durand): "In the estates of PN PN and Aššur's temple you have assigned work (to) the female palace personnel, retaining her salary at all times; you have [extracted?] the female personnel of your group. The girl of Yar'ip-Ninsi'anna, I brought/will bring ( $u_2$ -se. ri-ib-si) into the palace; and I have handed/will hand over a female servant to Yar'ip-Ninsi'anna (in exchange?). Yar'ip-Ninsi'anna [had gotten her] in/at the time of the campaign, [and had brought her] into Mari."

387-388. From the "Assyrian" period, these two texts (drawn within 2 weeks of each other) give a count of the leadership (using the generic term "sābum") in Mari and Terqa. [But cf. Durand: "il s'agit de simples localisations des dépenses pour la nourriture de ces gens" p. 518.] It is not necessary to think that this is a complete roster for either town, since as Kibri-Dagan's letters on this subject indicate (III:30f) there was much shifting of per-

sonnel at all times.

30/	388
	46 Lu <sub>2</sub> .šu.gi
13 DUMU.M damqūtim	13 DUMU.M damqūtim
6 gal.kud	4 GAL KUD
6 nu.banda₃	15 NU.BANDA <sub>3</sub>
40 <i>šūt</i> sag	62 AGA.UŠ.
10 LU <sub>2</sub> ELAM	[X] LU <sub>2</sub> .ELAM
~	[x] <i>ša</i> ?
	[x] ša na $Zi$ - $[x]$
	[x] ša tem[meni]
10 kartappu	$[x \ ka]r'$ -tap-pu $[N.B.]$
20 CIR SIG GAM	

20 GIR<sub>3</sub>,SIG<sub>5</sub>,GA,M 55 LU<sub>2</sub>,DIRIG,GA ŠUNIGIN 110 *ṣābum ina* Mari

[5?] LU<sub>2</sub>,DIRIG,GA ŠUNIGIN 218 *ṣābum ina* Terqa

Worth noting is the following: 1. the slot for  $\tilde{sut}$  SAG in 387 is occupied by  $r\bar{e}d\hat{u}$  in 388. The numbers involved here, though different may indicate that we are dealing with personnel of rather lower rank. 2. The main difference in the numbers between the two columns is the personnel sandwiched between LU<sub>2</sub>.ELAM and *kartappu*; but note here that some of those in 388 may overlap personnel reckoned as  $girsekk\hat{u}$ , although it is possible that the traces in 388: 7 may be read [x GIR<sub>3</sub>.SIG<sub>5</sub>.]GA.M.

**389.** This text apparently lists the Babylonian personnel (military and diplomatic) in Mari which includes 7 GAL MAR.TU. It is related to XXII:42:r.6-10. The two texts can now be completed as follows:

XXI:389:1-5	XXII:42:r.6-10
1. 7 LU <sub>2</sub> GAL,MAR.TU	6. 7 LU <sub>2</sub> GAL.MAR.TU
	LU <sub>2</sub> (Babylon)
15 gal giš.pa	15 GIŠ.PA
[2] LU <sub>2</sub> <i>nāgirū</i>	2 LU <sub>2</sub> nāgirū
[1] Lu <sub>2</sub> dub.sar	1 LU <sub>2</sub> DUB.SAR
[x]LU <sub>2</sub> <i>ša sikki</i>	[? L]U <sub>2</sub> ša sikki
[-etc]	[broken]

The names of the generals in XXII:42 are lost in the obverse. Note that one of of the personnel, obviously not from Babylon, bore the name Zimri-Lim-bēlī! The documents probably dates to ZL 10' when Mari hosted a number of Babylonian officers and their staff: XXIII:564-567 (9.ii.10'), XXI:100 (29.ii.10'). But the welcome apparently began earlier, cf. IX:46 which dispenses 7 vases (to each?) of these "generals" (viii.9'), that is just as ZL was about

to aid Hammurabi. 391. Very unusual way to give order of importance by using Sumerian ideograms read phonetically (see Durand, p. 527n). 396. PNs can be reconstructed on the basis of 59. 6. ki-ki-nu-um (= (59:6. ki-ik-ki-nu); 9-11. ya-an-ti(e-ra-ah) | ba-la-an | u<sub>2</sub>-sa-ta-an. 398 and 400. These texts — unfortunately not dated — are exceptionally interesting in that they give a listing of the middle-level cadre at Mari. The information is collected as follows:

8. PN 1-3 // 3 LU<sub>2</sub> ŠA<sub>3</sub>. TAM.M (*šatammū*)

b. PN 1-9 // 9 DUMU.M ŠAGI.A (šāqû)
 c. PN 1-12 // 12 DUMU.M. ŠU.I

GIR<sub>3</sub>.SIG<sub>5</sub>.GA (girsekkû) d. PN 1-7 // 7 DUMU.M ŠU.I u<sub>2</sub>-uš<sub>2</sub>-mu-u

20 dumu.m. šu.i

e. PN 1 // LU2 ki-sa-lu-hu

SUNIGIN 32 LU2 GIR3.SIG5.GA.M ša tubuqtim

398 goes on to speak of 3 sukkukūtu ušmū, as well as 3 sukkukūtu TUR before fragmenting. The 32 officials of the jubuqtum (obviously an area in the palace, perhaps a special floor) are allocated into šatammū, šāqū, and gallābū, the last term, itself, is subdivided into those who are girsekkû, those who are ušmů, and one person who is a kisalluhu. Generally speaking, at Mari, the girsekkû seems to be a palace guard or (the king's personal) attendant, the ušmūclass is discussed by Durand, p. 530n, and the kisalluhu seem to be applied to a menial worker, perhaps in the palace's chapels. 400 gives interesting variations, in that the 3 sukkukūtu ušmū are inserted, nameless (as, with Durand, in XVIII:55), into the ŠUI group (note that the PNs in 400:12-18 seem to exactly parallel the sequence found in 398:28-34). I presume that the 3 s. TUR are entered, by name, as kisalluhu. If so, this gives some sense of the relative importance between *ušmû* vs. TUR as well as between SU.I and kisalluhu.

On the PN in 398:29 = 400:13 perhaps one ought to read  $i_3 li_2 - ka - na - si_x$  [AB =  $si_x$ ]; but cf. Durand, p. 531n8.

411. 4. 4 LU<sub>2</sub>.[MUHALDIMM]; cf. 381:36'f; 382:ii.24f. 413. The information within this text must be compared

with that preserved in XXII:64:

XXII:64 [Rm. 135]

1. Annu-duri

N.š. Ana-Sin-taklaku 'Annu-milki

N.š. Akka

5. šūlūtum ana Ninhursagga 5. šūlūtum ana Ninhursagga

「Raḥiba 「Bēlet-A

Bēlet-Agade-ummī N.Š. Nabi-ili

*šūlūtum ana* Diritim

N.Š.

N.S.

r. šūlūtum ana Bēlet-ekallim

Maniha
 N.š. Nabi-ili

Nihmatum

N.š. Ḥana-Sin šūlūtum ana Ištar-pišra

20. Ahat-iqra N.š. Ea-kabar ana Nihmatim

15. Ahata-waqra N.š. Ea-kabar ana 'Nihmatim GEME<sub>2</sub>,LUGAL

XXI:413 [Rm. 160]

N.S. Ana-Sin-taklaku

Bēlet-Agade-ummī

1. Annu-duri

「Annu-milki

N.Š. Nabi-ili

Annu-rahmi

N.S. Hanna-Sin

*šūlūtum ana* Bēlet-ekallim

N.Š. Akka

Rahiba

šūlūtum

10. ana Diritim

[erased]

 $\S+N$ . 9 M.M  $\S a \S allat$   $\S+N$ . 7 M.M.

tr. Mišlan<sup>KI</sup>
25. ZI.GA *ana* DINGIR.MEŠ
[8.ix.2']

\*\*Sa šallat Mišlan<sup>KI</sup>
20. ZI.GA DINGIR.MEŠ
[8.ix.2']

The two texts are dated to the same day, but it is obvious that XXI:413 was written to correct some problems in XXII:64. In the case of the latter, the scribe was compiling captured women who were to be reassigned, two to each of the temples of four female deities; one woman was to be assigned to a concubine of the king. The scribe knew that Bēlet-ekallim was to receive 2 prisoners, but did not know their names nor those of the cell leaders whence they were to come out. Nevertheless he entered a total which presumed that his list will be completed in time

In the case XXI:413, the scribe recovered the names for those to be assigned Belet-ekallim, but a doubt forced him to erase one of these, leaving him with the recording of only 1 woman to that goddess. That the erasure occurred after the text was at the point of drying up is clear from the totaling — 7 rather than 6 —, which presumes the inclusion of the erased name. I do not know why the scribe completely ignored the assignment to Ištar-pišra, unless it be that he suspected an error within 64: Note how Nihmatim and her erstwhile leader Hana-Sin in XXII:64 bore names that were suspiciously close to those of the kings' concubine and the cell leader of Annu-rahmi.

**414.** Collation and correction in XXIII, p. 477n.

## COMMENTS TO ARMT XXII

ARMT XXII opens with a series of personnel lists with a variety of arrangements, some of which may well have been peculiar to individual scribes:

a. Simplest are compilations, such as found in 1 (SY or YA period), that carefully enter individuals by name, rough designation of age (when not adult, usually TUR "young"), occupation at the moment of compilation, and by person to whom they are assigned. When groups are exclusively filled by young males, they are listed first, and they are followed by lists of women.

b. A variation is found in 14 (probably ZL period) where the multi-columned obverse compiles *male* workers while the reverse, also multi-columned, has *female* names.

c. Lists which contain only the names of persons who for one reason or another — they may have died, "disappeared," or escaped — are no longer under the control of group leaders, e.g. 32-33 (SY).

d. lists such as 16, wherein female workers are grouped by ten. In this case, however, the list may reflect an organizational scheme that was peculiar to temple personnel.

e. lists, such as 64 (ZL, 2') and 83 wherein blank spaces were apparently reserved for filling before the tablet dried up. Documents wherein the scribe does not finish a date-formula, e.g. 157 and 256, are not uncommon to Mari. This is not to be confused with occasions in which the scribe, not knowing the name of a specific individual, merely gives his occupation or his hometown (e.g. XXIII: 593).

f. lists wherein a whole section is erased. 67 is a good example. Note that it belongs to a dossier regarding mass

reshuffling of personnel taken prisoners at Mišlan during Zimri-Lim's early battles against the Benyaminites [not included within Villard's fine study of the topic in XXIII; cf. p. 485 n. 88].

g. lists which idiosyncratically and ad hoc stretch the meaning of a term, probably in order to avoid too elaborate totaling. In 80, for example, LU<sub>2</sub> is applied to kids, women and slaves.

h. lists which uses abbreviations for terms, e.g. 103, 136 (cf. Kupper, p. 613 [s.n.]), and even PNs, e.g. in XXI:71, 396 (see above), and XXII:185 (see below).

Comments to individual texts. 1. This Sumu-Yamam period text seems to come from the same context as the list published in RA 65, p. 66 (dated 15.viii). 3. The limum here had not been attested earlier, "Sin-muballit son of <sup>d</sup>[xx]-dinnam." Veenhof's study, MARI 4, 204-205, includes Kupper's testimony upon collation that the father's name could not have been \*Aššur-idinnam, a reading which would have allowed linking this eponym with one in documents from Tell Leilan whose father's name is Aššur-[??]. Kupper (MARI 3, 181), wonders where to assign this text since the man in charge, Hamatil, is usually linked to Sumu-Yamam (cf., Charpin, MARI 2, 211-214), but the shape of  $u_2$  is that of the "Assyrian" and later periods. Actually, these two criteria are not mutually excluding, since Hamatil functioned even into the time of Zimri-Lim (X:151 – cf. MARI 3, 137-138; perhaps also VII:202:ii':2), and the shape of  $u_2$  is not always a reliable guide. This text raises an interesting issue about personnel lists that the Mari scribes undertook continuously: How far in their collations did they need to keep track of dead (BA.UŠ2) or even runaway (?- BA.GI) personnel? See below, sub 10.

5 (27.x.1') and 6 (20+.xi.1' — cf. XXIII:516) reflect reassignment of carpenters over 1 month. 5 gives 4 males who are "carpenters ša takkim", everyone of whom finds reassignment under a group leader a month later. (F. Joannès has assembled in XXIII p. 415-416, a dossier of texts dated to the early years of ZL wherein leather workers and carpenters were distinguished by ša tukkim, a term which seems to characterize independent workers who indeed may have been located in one segment of the palace — hence Joannès's "atelier.") 7. The GN Ziniyan Yahappilim occurs in XXIII:464 where the first segment is followed by KI. According to X:143, Ziniyan seems to be located near Subatum (cf. XXIII:241). It would seem that Yahappilum ought not be confused with Yahpila near Mankisum; the last was evidently regarded by the Mari chancellery as foreign territory (see XXIII, p. 220), whereas our Ziniyan Yahappilim obviously belonged to Mari.

10. This text was written on the same day as XIII:1, the great register which compiled the names of weavers and their assignments (19.x.3' — discussed in ARMT XVIII, p. 251; colophon collated by Durand, MARI, 2, p. 128). The heading tells us that it is a tuppi ZI.GA SAL.UŠ.BAR, whereas the ending makes allowance for listing dead personnel (tuppi ZI.GA u BA.US, inūma SI.LA, UŠ.BAR). The supervisors are the same in both texts, except for the absence of Mukannišum from the roster. The scribe followed a very elaborate path: except in one case, at i.12-13, which was extracted from the rolls of the previous year (27.xii.[2']?), his attention focused on changes within

the first 8 months of the year 3'. He surveyed lists written during the months i-iii, v-viii and noted the cases when specific female weavers either died or were reassigned to a group leader, always a male. He did not particularly worry about the chronology of texts whence the names where extracted; rather, he simply tried to group a number of these females under the name of their new charge. This creates a certain lack of order; for this reason, the scribe ended col. iv. by giving a total (N.B. mistaken for 60! probably because of an error in iii. 12, which should be 4!). Moreover, in col. v. he collated all those who are dead in one column headed "BA.UŠ<sub>2</sub>." Here he could afford to be less than completely careful, and he has some names out of sequence, others lacking the proper ideogram such as PA.

12. This text lists artisans under the supervision of the same administrators as those of 10, but excludes that of Aqba-ahum. It adds: "NIG2. SU Mukanni sim/ ina bit n qia-tim [cf.? teqitum, AHw, 1348a]", thus making the roster almost equivalent in this respect to XIII:1's. I should probably be dated to the same period, that is ca. 19.x.3'. Note the presence of women as leather and metal workers and that the cells under the controls of Kiriban and Zilip-nawar, ii. 1'-18', seems to contain Hurrian names almost exclusively. 14. See above; on iv'.26', see Kupper's good suggestion (p. 612) to read as PN. 16. See above, for this text's unusual grouping of temple workers 17. 11. Read ša Patiha. 32. This text is a PS to a larger list (perhaps 33-both SY?) which collect the names of persons no longer in service. Two of the terms used, BA.HA and BA.GIR, may have had distinctive meanings, but the scribe collapsed them into one, BA.HA, when drawing up his total.

38. Probably dated to "Samas" whence originated most of the census lists. Restore the names of persons of Sammetar, Habdu-Hanat, ?, and Aqba-ahum in the colophon. 42. See above, sub XXI:389. 43. This (or a duplicate) may well be the text mentioned by Charpin and Durand in their article on Zimri-Lim's origins (MARI 4, 330). It is equivalent to TEM IV and does not mention Siptu, coming as it does from 2.vi.1'. 55 may come from the same period. Line 43:10' may read SAL E. GAL [but note 55:1.14' where nothing follows SAL]. 44 and 45 are registers that obviously depended on the same data. The list for harem ladies in 44:iii and those to be published in Durand's study on the harem (MARI 4) can now be mutually collated; cf. also 55:rev.iii. N.B. the female name Samhatum in vi: 4' and in 45 A r.ii.2'. 49. Intentionally or otherwise, this text displays a chiastic pattern: two outlays (n.b. si<sub>2</sub>-tum rather than ZI.GA) of 1/2 manas of silver sandwich lesser amounts. If so, KU3.UD/ PN of lines 1-2 are replaced by ta-ma-li-a-tum/ PN of 20-21; and the latter, therefore, may be a term, rather than another PN. 50:17: See XXIII. p. 8. 53-54 are separated by about 124 days of the year "Addu of Aleppo" — paralleling either ZL 2' or 3'; yel except for a minor discrepancy in the use of a cuneiform sign (-li- in 53:9; -li<sub>2</sub>- in 54:9), these texts are not only duplicates, but actually share the same format and configuration. It is difficult to avoid the suspicion that either they were written at the same time or were reproduced whole despite the supposed passage of time. 55:iii.0 should be read Kazubtum, cf. XXIII:220:3. belong to YA's reign, since the very few attestations of "Amadugga" beyond sealings are restricted to that period

but cf. Durand, MARI 4, 411 n. 162). 61-62 deal with the same topic: the reassignment of four women to Siptu, apparently occuring soon after she came to Mari. 61 is apparently earlier, in the women had been handed out to detail leaders. 62 contains different rendering of 2 of the names — Marasaya vs. Marusatum; Belessunu vs. Belassunu — and recalls that the women had come from the spoils of war at Saknatum, a place that is not known to me, but likely a Benyaminite stronghold. This topic has been discussed ably by Villard in XXIII, pp. 476ff. 64. See discussion above sub XXI:413. 66. This undated text tells of the palace's reorganization of personnel at the time of visits by foreign kings (including that of Haya-Sumu of Ilansura). It is divided into four parts: 7 females are assigned to the kings of Zalmaqum and Idamaras (N.B.: Yahad-maras in XXIII:89:3, which forces a new search for an alternate etymology for Idamaras; lastly, Dossin, Akkadica, 22[1981]), 3-4). Another 7 are given to Haya-sumu's and his entourage, 6 to 6 princesses. voung girl was permanently assigned to Bahli-bašti and 8 are readied for permanent release, possibly as gesture of good will to the various kings. The last entry (1. 41-42) is difficult for me to assess. We can date this text by a number of approaches. According to XXIII:84// 423, Bahlibasti was taken from the household of Yarim-Addu to become princess Naramtum's "mammy/nanny" when the latter married Sarriya of Eluhut. Since this act occurred 24.iv.4', 66 is to be dated earlier. It is possible, therefore, that this text comes from 2', when a big hafla took place to honor visiting monarchs, an occasion when Haya-sumu came likely to collect his bride Kirum (on all this, see Durand, MARI 3, 162f). Additionally, 66 swells by 3 the names of princesses that are known to us from the list conveniently available in Batto's work on the Mari women and from the additions given by Birot in Syria 54, 279 and Durand in MARI 3, 162n, MARI 4, 335.

72:7. See XXIII, p. 9 n. 10. 73-75 are likely to come from the YA period. 73:5-6 speak of PN, mar šiprim ša Ru-bi-im. The last is attested 4 times in XXII (cf. p. 591), all in pre-ZL (probably YL) documents, and may, in fact be a tribal name. Rubben of X:100 may be the same name. Stol suggests a connection with the Rubum of Ešnunna discussed by van Dijk in AfO 23 (1970), 65ff. Whiting, apud OBTI, p. 13 n. 55, makes this ruler precede Ibalpiel I, which may well place him a bit too early for our context see now Charpin, Fs. Birot, 62-64.] 75:4 as read by Kupper is suspicious; I would expect some form of nasāhum, referring to the date, rather than to a choking incident. It would be surprising if the scribe was so quick as to register Ištar-ummi's death within the same day as it happened. 77. Although not easily supported by the traces given by Kupper, the GN in r. 8' may be Ab'-ba-anki, a spelling known from the YA period, MARI 3, p. 103, No. 134:3. 78, also discussed in XXIII, p. 149, yield interesting bits of data: The palace was getting ready for sheep plucking in the early days i.20 (paralleling either 2' or, as I tried to show in MARI 5, to 3'), expecting the process to take up at least one full week, ending in the second third of the month. Given climactic conditions which apparently obtained in the OB period and which allowed Spring to enter a couple of weeks earlier than in our present days, mid-Urahum 2'/3' fell in later March.

During 4', almost two years later, with the lunar calendar falling behind the solar cycle, wool from sheep-shearing

was entered into storage on 16.xii (93-95).

78's addition is suspect. There is a break in 1. 13 where the number of women workers was recorded. In order to balance with the 117 total given in 1. 21, one has to restore 11 which, given the range of numbers in that text, would be very unlikely. Were we to include in the calculations the 11 males, the tally will match, but it will force us to consider the number of 1. 13 to have been erased by the scribe; another unlikelihood. What to do? 80. See above on the use of LU<sub>2</sub>. **85.** See XXIII, p. 139 n. 13. **86** + XXIII: 51 deal with the wool storage and rationing during vi.3' [i.e. ZL 7a]. N.B. "plene" writing of date formula in 1. 8.

89 and 90, both dating to 9.iii.4', register at the temple of Istar separate outlays of wool, differing in amounts (45 and 30 lbs. respectively) and in destination; but for the same purpose: the manufacture of many pieces of embroidered (?) wrapper destined to be thrown over an apparel (ana [TUG<sub>2</sub>] harūrī ša pan NI 3.BARA 3 — on the last see, XXI, pp. 412-413; on ha/urūrum, see XXI:425 with comments). One of the manufacturers, well-known to Mari text, is a specialist in BAR.KAR.RA weaving (89 and 96), for which see XXI, pp. 423-425. The reading of the last in now confirmed by M. 5888's TUG<sub>2</sub> ba-ar-ka-ru-u<sub>2</sub>, MARI 3, p. 112 s.v.

98 is without a date formula, but with an intercallary Abum (abum tašnītum). It may have been drafted outside of Mari proper. The text is certainly not from ZL's time since pi3-qi3-ti (piqitti) PN for the ubiquitous SI.LA2 PN (lastly, Rouault, XVIII, pp. 276, 278 s.v.) tends to be found in documents from before his reign (cf., XXI:287b [although Warad-kube is known from ZL's time] and, in this volume, YL — 290, 291, 294 [read in 13 MU.DU!  $pi_3!-qi_3!-ti$ ; SY — 121, 269; YA — 306). 121, which also contains the same formula and may have been composed in Kiretim, a town that was reckoned as belonging to the district of Terqa (e.g. XXIII:428/429:19-21; add, 340:4') or that of Saggaratum (e.g. XXIII:427:30'; p. 324; XIV: 63:6). 99. Note Kupper's observation (p. 613) that despite an insertion "sissikti PN" after the year-formula, there is no trace of fringes on the tablet! Durand's copy of XXI: 231 — which also mentions the sissiktu of 2 jewelers (a third was better off: he had a seal) —, indicates that one of them was indeed impressed. Nevertheless, it remains to be asked: How would one confirm the authenticity of a fringe or decide on who is its owner by looking at its impression on clay?

100 (YA) and 138 (YL). On the month name IM.UD.UD, see Kupper, MARI 3, 182. 110 and the broken 111 may have been "genuine" duplicates, i.e. those where no changes are entered into the body of the text or the colophon in order to make allowance for different destination or purpose of tablet. Such "genuine" duplicates are very rare in Mari; indeed it is puzzling why the scribe would even ceate them. 123. Among the texts from the YL period, the formula ina KA2 GN [not to be confused either with ina GN/DN or with ina KA<sub>2</sub> + substantive] is found right after the mot-clef. The GN's involved vary: Tarnip (123; 161, 162), Šuna (138), Kallatum (140), Pahudar (160), Tuttul (if correctly restored, note OAKK, spelling, 163), Zi[??] (Zinen<sup>7</sup> 164, cf. 161:4), Arduwan (166), Kahat (227, probably also 277), Kallahabru (278) Şubat-Ištar (272, 273). The international flavor for these gates ought not lead us to think that the tablets were written far away from Mari (contra: Kupper, MARI 3, 183); rather it suggests that YL named many of Mari's gates after foreign locales, some of which may have witnessed a particularly striking victory (e.g., Pahudar). In one case in fact, the scribe did not bother to be precise and merely recorded: *ina* Mari (139). Kallatum may be the same GN better known as Kallassu (i.e. kallat + šu). Kallahabru is certainly Kallahubra, a village in Kahat (cf. XVI/1, 18). Arduwan finds here its first attestation in the Mari texts, and ought not be related to Mardaman, which appears in another YL text (162). This perspective allows us to date XXI:353, a MU.DU *ina* KA<sub>2</sub> Saggaratim, to YL's reign. XXI:416, which has the same formulation, already has a YL year name.

124. See XXIII, p. 203. 127. Another text with obvious accounting errors: read 306! in line 5. It seems to contain at least two separate transactions, each with its own summary, that were simply compiled into one document without any attempt at retotaling (cf. 1. 14 whose number does not include the 306 of 1. 6). The first of these transactions gives an account of gifts in clothing given the palace from palace workers and bureaucrat; the second lumps into one category of clothing all the donations from the districts of Mari, Terqa and Saggaratum (but not Oat-129. For the reading Es<sub>2</sub> rather than TUG<sub>2</sub> before nanaptum, see XXIII, p. 139 n. 15. 131:4. Read Samaš-ba-le-e as in XIII:143:4. 134:3' on the bitum of Yaggid-Lim, son of the king, see also 276:i.37 (ZL, 3') and the discussion of Durand, MARI 4, 338 n. 227. 136. Note the abbreviations: pa-li for palīlum, TUG, gu for gusānum; the scribe apparently favored a two-sign abbreviation. To make the totals in 25-26 square with the list, we need to restore (gusānu) in 1. 2 and assume that Yawsi-el and Iliidinnam of 1. 9-10 shared 1 palilum between them (note the peculiar use of  $u_3$  there). On gusanum, a sac of some sort which could also be made of leather (174:6), see XXIII, p. 162. 139 is discussed in XXIII, p. 18, 141:1-4. The PNs here should be compared with those in 162:1-3 and 8-12. Since the verbal forms are N pret., the lines ought to be rendered: "Clothing (given to) PN, which had been taken from PN(s)." In line 14 ša humāšī may not refer to a PN, but to the fact that Ali-wagrum is an acrobat (with Durand. MARI 3, 154 n. 31; cf. XXIII:386). See also below sub

143 and 252. On the formula, see already Rouault, XVIII, p. 125. Another way of specifying that a text is a "duplicate" is recorded in XXI:218. 143, dated 15.i?.31 ("Muballittum") comes a week later than XXIII:355 which records the dispatch of wine and honey to Babylon and Elam, see Lafont's discussion, XXIII, pp. 284-285. 151 contains what may well be an early attestation of the kurkûm-fowl. Read the GN in 1. 12 Šu!-daKI (cf. XXIII:17). Note that the 5 Habiru of Kurda listed in 13-17 have Hurrian  $(2\times)$ , WS  $(2\times)$  and ES  $(1\times)$  names. 153:5-7 repeat the name of one and adds 1 Hurrian and 1 ES names. 154. See MARI 3, 162 n. 4 where Durand restores: [clothing to four women] ša ana niditti NIN.DINGIR.RA ša dim iddinu. However, it should be noted that Darkatum has not been attested as yet; rather odd for a priestess of the ZL period. 157. It is difficult to evaluate the title DAM dIM attached to Maniha's name, a title which may have been traditional for Mari (cf., DAM.MI<sub>2</sub> AN in XIX 291:3; 365:r.7'). A similar title DAM <sup>d</sup> Dagan is applied to Kunši-

matum (XXIII:195, 195, both ZL 4') whose thick dossier allowed Durand ("Harem" MARI 4) to consider her a daughter of Samsi-Addu. Whatever the title's implication it is possible to imagine some type of priesthood for as noble a person as Kunšimatum. In our case, however Maniha does not seem to have royal blood. A Benyaminite captive was given as a sūlūtum to the goddess Ištar-pišra (64:15 = XXI:413 — date: 8.ix.2'). Apparently the same woman was transferred to Mukannisum after her capture (cf. XXIII, p. 504, i:35 — date: 26.ix.2'). She may have been shuffled around a bit more (cf. XXII, p. 585 s.v.) A Himmaran (Terqa district) woman of that name is known as GEME PN who took part in the oath-taking ceremonies of ZL 9' (XXIII:236). She may have been a homonym as well may have been the weavers listed in XIII:1 (cf. XVI/1, 149). Our text is imperfectly dated, with the scribe leaving a good portion of the formula out.

164:8-9. The Yarim-Lim LU<sub>2</sub> Numahû in this text which dates to the YL period, may well be the Benyaminite chieftain during ZL's reign (cf. Durand, MARI 3, 137-138) 170 shows the same geographical breadth as VII:191 and IX:288, XXI:243; 367; in fact some of these documents may be referring to the same activity. When Kibri-Dagan wrote in III:81 that emissaries from Simurru, Huršitum (whose king was Puhiya - XXI:281), and Qabara had just reached Terqa, he may have been announcing the vanguards of delegations that were to take part in a big hafla chez ZL (cf. also XXIII: 243), the date and circumstance of which are still not available to us. One of the two Yamhadians listed in 5'-6', Hanni-Addu, is known as Hanna-Addu, DAM.GAR, LU, Emar in XXIII:523. This would confirm what we always suspected: that during ZL's reign, Emar was part of Yamhad. The Lillimaraean who accompanied Addu-duri to the king (should it be the other way around?) allows us to date this text before her ZL § death. This is also her first attested trip outside the capital.

185:10. With regards the PN here, all of the citations in XVI/1, 126 referring to "Ini-irmuk" are likely to belong to this smith Inir-muqtabli (also in this volume 12:iv:12) 203:iv:[16']). This is certainly the case in VII:96:21 104:iv:3, and in XXIII:235:ii:19. In VIII:60:16, the scribe apparently (?) left out the last two signs. 186:5 maybe i/id?-di. 188 is briefly mentioned in Soubeyran's chapter on the production of cultic paraphernalia, XXIII, pp. 335-336. 190 speaks of 588 sh. of bronze to make 10 rivets of 60 sh. each! Either the scribe was rounding off, or he expects that the difference will be made up from other quarters. This type of "shortage" is not isolated but appears also in other records, as in the next two documents. 193 and 194. Comparison of data in show how scribes corrected themselves. The first is dated to 19.i.l. the second which is not dated, is briefer because it leaves out certain bits of information. Yet it was written probably afterwards since the scribe took occasion to correct an obvious mistake in computing the bronze needed from Samaš' estate: In 194 he was short by 15 sh.; in 195 he was to have 10 sh. leftover.

201 is related to these two documents and gives the amount of bronze that was unused (LA<sub>2</sub>+U) by those mentioned above. 203 is interesting in that it is headed by a year-date (ZL 4') within which various transactions are (re)entered one month after the other, during i-ix. 205 does the same for ZL 3', but from months iv-xii only.

203:19'-21' refers to bronze given out to manufacture objects for the SAL sah(h)iratum. The same female some (also written without MES) occurs in XXIII:40, personner which interestingly enough comes from the same period of time (i.4'). In his comments to that document, period cites M. 8261 which gives SALTUR MES s., allowing him to treat sah(h) iratum as fem. to sah(h) irum. Here the marchandes ambulantes" (Kupper) makes little sense. I wonder whether the Arabic sahhara, "female magician" may be at stake here, bringing the meaning somewhat closer 10 AHw's salphiru(m), "Hausierer" (applied to demons, 1009a). L. 26'. One expects ITI Urāhim somewhere here. L. 38'. There is now a healthy dossier on the ša hamuhhi-chariots, the function of which has been elucidated by Durand, XXI, p. 292n (add XXII:207:r.5'; 311:6; 317:13; XXIII:100, 394, 410, 575). In fact many of the terms left untranslated in these texts, when they refer to chariotry, are discussed in Durand's chapter. To the types of chariots add: G. ša zi-ih-tum [SAG] 311:5; G. ša zu-xru-x, 317:12; (sous-entendu) ša ANŠE.KUR.RA (already XXI:215), which may refer to a special type of chariot (204:i.22'; r.ii.5'). To parts of chariots, add taktak(k)um, 192:6; to parts of wheels, add kabkurru KAK.HA, x MUL of 203:ii. 18'-19'. XXIII provides further information on chariots, for which see the index below for access to appropriate texts and comments. On nakwûm of iv. 1'-5' see XXI, p. 360 which cites a passage now published as XXIII:481. There seems to be a preference for manufacturing them in groups of 5. In 204:rev.iii.38-39, 5 nakwû are to be used in connection with clothing — if Kupper's reading is correct. The small amount of copper necessary to manufacture it (although it may have been alloyed), makes me hesitate to think of it as an "ironing" device (cf. arabic mikwayya). On the use of bullutum in the colophon, see Durand MARI 3, 260-263, which he renders by "ventiler." Note the use of gamer-ma, applied to months, to mean "inclusive."

204 may have been written in the year ZL 2' or 3', after the conquest of Mišlan. It is remarkable how broad were the activities of Asqudum, — diviner, son-in-law to YL, eponym, owner of a "house," father of officials (Kabi-Addu), temple official, etc.; see Charpin, MARI 4 (453-62); Lafont, XXIII: pp. 250-251, parallels some of his activities to that of an Ur III maškim at Puzriš-Dagan. His travels were wide, including trips to Aleppo (14.x.4 [= "Kahat"] — A. 13180, cited in XXIII, p. 304) and to Ašnakkum (TH 82-185, cited in Charpin, ibid., p. 458; in XXIII:45:3, read: ša < ana> Asqudim ublunim). In fact, as Charpin points out, Asqudum, who is sometimes titled awilum, conducts himself and his household as a kinglet within Mari. On the terms naZInum N.B. na-zi-i-nu in 231:4), katappum (a weapon?), mašqaltum-balance, šušmarûm, and muşarrirtum in this and other XXII texts, see Durand, XXI, pp. 366, 342-3, 353, 357, and 356-7. Recording that Etel-beli received some products "when the king went to Andariq" (203:rev.iii:38-39) is here used not to date the transaction but to tell of the particular need for the product. The same can be said about the allusion to the marriage of princess Kihila to Naramwho is either another vassal or another Mari official. 224 records the supply of samrātu to personnel and townships and inserts two (independent?) notes intended to refresh memory on the circumstances for the disbursements: "Just before the king went on a campaign; when Hadanum, the 'general' came up here." As an archival or bureaucratic technique, it is difficult to judge how important these additions were to the scribe. Was the decision his to make about inserting such statements? It cannot be denied, however, that some of these clauses where indeed intended to secure dates as in, e.g., XXIII:42 where the scribe added: inūma Habur ihtutu (cf. Bardet's remarks.) It might be useful to study the whole Mari corpus on this topic.

205:ii'.13'. zakannum is now well attested as a building of some sort, see Charpin, MARI 3, 113, with unpublished excerpts furnished by Durand. 208:rev.i.4'-9' possibly allows restoring XXI:288b: [copper] ana napās [šu-zi-bi-]i!/ [ša hu-bu-uš]/ KU3!-GI!. 218:1. See XXIII:512 and restore [la-ab-]du GAL?. The PN in 1. 4 is Til-abnu (cf. VIII:88 as well as PN indexes XXII, XXIII). The dossier on labdum, which includes this text, is discussed in XXIII, p. 436-438. 222:14' LU<sub>2</sub> ya-am-ha-am/mi-i. 225:3-4. The intents of these lines is to make certain that the weapon sent via Yašub-Ašar would be restored to stock. 230 (same date -24.vii.2') confirms the fact that the king, away (in Raqqim?), was in need of supplies, as he had been a few days earlier 228 recording a gift for Qatna (XXIII:27 — 22.vii). through the diplomat/merchant Idin-Numušda is placed within a dossier of gifts exchanged during ZL 2' in XXIII, pp. 344f. We have an interesting letter, perhaps on the same occasion (so also, Bardet, XXIII, p. 42), in II:133, wherein a frustrated Idin-Numušda writes: "My lord earlier spoke about the detention of the group (heading) for Qatna, so that I am detaining the men for the past 5 days, and they have used up their lambs observing entrails for omens. If it is agreeable to my lord, he ought to write so that these men should no longer be detained, but they ought to go; the men are pretty distressed. Three days ago, the (main) caravan departed. The messengers (should go) in the next caravan." Note the distinction here between girrum, referring to the assembly of messengers, and harranum, which here refers to the larger unit, comprising merchants, perhaps

229. The total of scythes in the reverse is obviously incomplete, and the obverse must have had its own total. Note the coda, unfortunately in fragment: "Total: 13 scythes which Mukannišum ordered to retrieve from the 'bitumen'-room; Sunuhrahalu is to [?] according to this tablet." The bit kuprim is just another storehouse that has its own name; many things were stored there, in particular oil (cf. XXIII, p. 418). 232 is another list that comprises a niddittum for a princess; see Durand, MARI 3, 163 n.9. For various objects that are fabricated out of ašnugallum, see XXIII:68 (and Bardet's comment s.v.). 233 speaks of the production of a votive chariot, and dates to 20.iv of a newly recovered date-year of YL: "Year: Yahdullim opened the Puzuran canal." The text gives an Akkadian reading for the chariot's "chest," irtum, which had been known only through its Sumerian equivalent GABA.GAL<sub>2</sub> (XXI:254:6), or as a loanword from Sumerian gabgallum (VII:243:4; cf. Durand's comments, p. 285). The ru-qu2-u2 ša i-ir-tim probably refers to thin leaves beaten for the purpose (cf. XVIII, p. 151 n. 185; re: XIII:17:14-16; AHw, 995 ruggu(m) II). The bi-ri-x of 1. 4 may be related to the Bi-ri-di/ki3 of 237:5, both of which are rather small in weight. The term is not likely to be related to birikkum of XXI:34:3 or to the pirikkumemblem (AHw, 866a). 234:6-10 (ZL 11.ix.2') refers to the "...gold... that was taken from the UKU.US of? the 'man' of Tizrah" and may allude to one of ZL's early victories reported in his "victory" stele, although Durand and Charpin MARI 4, 319-24, think it ought to be Yasmah-Adad's.

237. This text wonderfully recreates the complicated steps taken in releasing precious objects to artisans: 2 artisans are responsible for the manufacture (SI.LA<sub>2</sub>), 2 inspectors (ebbūt PN<sub>1-2</sub>) attest to the need of the artisans, and 4 highly placed officials are to supervise the enterprise (GIR<sub>3</sub>). No room for trust here! [See also 245, 246. In the last text, IGI seems to substitute for the expected GIR 3, which confirms the supervisory function of those so labelled. On the issue of bureaucratic responsability, see Durand's important study in MARI 2, 123-130; but note that GIR<sub>3</sub> officials may append their seals to a text, as in XXIII:551]. Verb in 1. 2 is difficult and can be from wabālum D (cf.? AHw, 1452, [12]) or, less likely, from apālum D (cf. possibly IX:258:33). Note šušummû (ša Diretim) of 1. 12, so far attested only in lexical texts.

239 (ZL 1.ix.2') is concerned with the manufacture of Sin's axe and should be added to Lafont's table in XXIII, pp. 336-337. However, it is cited in Joannès's comments to XXIII:173 (ZL 9.i.1'), another text dealing with the same job. On E2.UZU of 240:5, see XXIII:224:13 and (p. 199). Many examples for the process of fixing lapislazuli plaques by means of gold filaments are given by Limet, MARI 4 (§ 2.6). 248. For this text and another cited long ago by Dossin, see Lafont, XXIII, p. 332-333. Limet, MARI 4, § 3.7 cites another text which speaks of the statue of the king, and it may be related to this one although we know of 3 already (destined for Halab, for Der, and for Hatta of Kakkulum).

**252**:4 for *hubusum*, see XX:104:1; 131 and comments. **254**:5 read za-ar-ni-kum<sup>2</sup> as in XXI:398:13 (LU<sub>2</sub> ŠAGI.A); XXIII:424:1. [In XXIII: 104:25', the attestation is not likely to be a PN. Perhaps restore that name in III:69:5 za-ar-ne<sup>?</sup>-[kum/ki]. The texts speaks of 2 lances (imnātum, plur. of immittum, cf. XXI, p. 342) which (they gave/were given) to PN.

258 is a fragment of a list of gifts Zimri-Lim distributed during one of his early years to vassals and their attendents, a group which included 2 delegates from Sadum-atal; Zimri-Hammu, probably the king of Buzullum (note the size of the silver ring he received!) and many rings for Hanean women. The disbursement was made at "apqum of the Habur," a term to be understood as WS 'efeq rather than as homonym of the well known GN. The text is dated to 30.iv. "Annunitum," a "full" year (i.e., a has texts datable throughout the 12-month cycle) and which is likely to be coeval with "Throne of his father." The last shows all the indications of being a "co-year" in that it has, so far, found attestation only at the beginning of the year (4.i. [XXII:313; TH.82-116], 22.i [XXIII:125]), before skipping to its end (list in Lafont, XXIII, p. 278). Further on this, see Charpin, MARI 4 p. 454 § 1.1 and n. 3.

261. Nice price list for purchased aromatic wood (cf. Kupper, Kraus Festschrift, p. 165 n. 13), to be sent to Sarraya of Razama. According to XXIII:523 wood was imported from the Northwest, through Imar. 262:r.iv.9' the GN ša hussurātim, which rently is a "suburb" of Mišlan. It is also known

by a spelling ša he-su<sub>2</sub>-ra-tim, ša issurātim as well as ša MUŠEN (cf. ref. in XXIII, pp. 593-4), depending on the branch of Semitic whence it stems (cf., Arab. 'usfur). col vi.f. gives a value of 10:1 for tin, a value which does not remain stable in Mari (14:1 in VII:233:19'; 11:1 in 262:v. 1'-5'; 264:7' [restored]; XXIII:557 [last 3 texts are related]. 269 and 282 seem to belong to the same period SY. The first text informs us that this king had decent relations with Aleppo, then ruled by Sumu-epuh.

271. perhaps belong to the outlays for Addu-duri's oillamps. 2734. First signs are likely to be [i-nu-]ma. The text dates to YL's reign. 275, an oil disbursement account is recalled in conjunction with XXI:125 by Durand in MARI 3, 160. 275 follows the other text by one day and has a curious insertion (line 11) that raises the total without being specific on who receives the additional outlay

276 is the famous document that Kupper cited in the Bond Festschrift. The first column enters and totals incoming oil and sesame from ix.1' through xii.3'. The broken last lines can be reconstructed as follows: adi ITI Eburim gamerma MU [ZL 4']. Since this account was meant only to register what was added to Mukannišum's own bitum only spotty months are registered: all of the last 3 months of ZL 1', while those of ZL 2' and 3' are not even stated: the only hint of specific time here being the statement that the ZL 3' collection was made when the king was attending (presided over?) a festival [inūma šarrum ki-la $u_2$ -tam/tim! ušbu]. The reading with  $-u_2$ - rather than -safor the festival is, therefore, to be maintained over AHw's (1584b) emendation of XVIII:42:7. For wašābum in this context, see XXIII:218:3-5: inuma beltum QAR.NI ina bit Annunitim ušbu; QAR.NI, is likely to be another festival and not garnum. Columns ii to mid iv are much more careful in giving a monthly detail of the outlays from Mukannišum's stockpiles, even to the point of including the intercalary Eburum of ZL 2'. The colophon, further, raises the issue of how the Mari scribe understood the term "year" when an intercalary month is at stake; on this see my remark in MARI 4, 440 n. 12 to which must be added consideration of the interesting colophon at XXIII:588: 7-13. In this text, the scribe writes that he spanned "1 year, 1 month," from ZL v.11' until vi.12'. Now we know that 11' included an intercalary Hibirtum (vb) and the scribe ought to have had 15 months. We can conclude that:

1. the scribe's "year" could span 2 different year-formulae; 2. the scribe did not include vi.12' in his calculation. Indeed, note absence of gamerma! in 1. 11, and contrast this with its presence in 1. 17;

3. the scribe included vb.11' within his understanding of

the term "yearTT;

4. the "1 month" in total apparently refers to v.12' 283 is an order to be filled upon receipt (note imperative in

1. 3), with a date and the seal of Yasim-Sumu. See below sub 332. 287:3. NINDA hamiṣātum is likely to be equivalent to NINDA emsum. 288. haškurū are not likely to be apples. which, like other fruits, are usually measured with solid, rather than with weight, measures (e.g. IX:115). Moreover the abussum ša šundurim seems to stock mostly metal (e.g. IX:30 [silver]), VII:86 and XXIII:524 [tin]). 291. The grand total of 147 UDU and the subtotal of 122 UDU combine sheep and goats within the UDU category. The grand total presumes the inclusion of one more animal, and this was probably listed in I. 12. 292:3. The notation wind is read UG 7-ma by Durand, XXI, p. 34 n. 5 and piM, MA by Lafont, XXIII, p. 234 n. 1. 293 gives a thumbnail listing of most small animals raised in Mari texts cf. VII:224; IX, pp. 299-301). 298. For more on humā/ wim, see MARI 3, 153-154. The number of such athletes 60; at any one time?? — during ZL's reign amazes.

See above, sub 141. 300. MARI 4, 328-329. 302. LA,U in this context is more likely to mean "remainder, left-over"; cf. e.g. XXI:272, a text which is surely related to XXIII:303, an end of the year account of left over timber in various districts (N.B. ina halṣānī in l. 17'); if so, it will allow us to restore LA<sub>2</sub>.U HA<sub>2</sub> in 1. 8, Eburum as the month name (ZL 1') in XXI:272, and in XXIII:303 to fill the gaps in lines 6-7: ŠA<sub>3</sub>,BA 1 ME 25 GIŠ.HA<sub>2</sub> as well as to restore in 1. 20 the number as "37." Perhaps "Qattunan" is to be filled in rev. 2', but note the absence of \*halas GN where it would he expected in 4'. Yamsum is now better known thanks to Durand's study in MARI 3, 168. 306 is of the same type (but different date) as the texts in the dossier of YA stock inventory studied by Charpin in MARI 2 (see above sub XXI:195). Note that a syllabic spelling for GIŠ.KA.GIŠ.KARA. (1, 7 and 316:ii:8') is given in XXI:303:7 ki-in-na-aš-ka-raki (gen.), a spelling attested also in Sippar; but ka-ni-iška-ra-ki-im in XXIII:103:8; ka-an-giš-ka-ra-ki in XXIII: 213:13. For more variations in spelling, see XXI, p. 362 n. 29. On GIŠ a-su of l. 10, see XXI:106:11. 313 is one of two tablets dated to ZL 4.i.i' [above sub 258, and it is a record of gift giving among which is one to Apil-ilišu. We know of 2 men by that name: a Babylonian (150:5// XXIII:448:54//449:53 [cf. XXIII, p. 347f.; XXI, p. 576]) who, within a decade rose from the rank of messenger/ diplomat to that of a GAL.MAR.TU; and a courrier for the king who is attested 3 times in XXII (cf. p. 564). 314 is a record of a package sent to the king while on tour (cf. XXIII:353). Among these are jars of perfumed oil, a typology of which is now given by Soubeyran, XXIII, p. 417, to which we may add from here the reference to I<sub>3</sub> te-liil<sub>5</sub>'-tim. 316:ii.2'-5' is discussed in XXIII, p. 146. 318:10'. TUG<sub>2</sub> zakû is discussed in Durand's XXI, p. 416. Add 324 :r.iii.30.

321:11 speaks of products that are hummuşātum sa GN, allowing Kupper (notes, p. 617) to derive from hamasum D and hence to translate generically as "butin de GN." In Mari the usual term is šallatum. I do not know whether any etymological connection can be made with the apparent DN hu-mu-şi3-im (gen.) attested in XXIIII:319:7 and in PNs from Mari (cf. XXIII, p. 242). 322 is regarded as a dowry list by Durand. According to Kupper (p. 617), there is a duplicate to this inventory. The articles are given according to their value in silver and include jewelry (value: 297 sh.), vases, cutlery, furnishing, linens (value: 220 sh.), and slaves (value: 100 sh. [cf. MARI 3, 162]), giving us a total value of 617 shekels, i.e. 5 kg. or 12 lbs of silver! 323:26. Many forms with -ā in XXIII:531; von Soden, ZA 73 (1983), 86f. 324:r.iii.23. For TUG<sub>2</sub> HUŠ.A, see XXI:350b:1; for ša zi-mi see XXI:131:2-4 (=? XXIII:482:13-15) and M. 6052 (cited in Durand, ARMT XXI, p. 146 n. 12) where the zimū required oil in order to be fixed (šakānum) or even sawn (sakākum); perhaps it is some sort of thinnish sequin. For zim hurāşim šakānum of XIII:18:12-13, see Durand, MARI 2, 137 n. 42. 326:8 gives the name of a multiplitum (of the goddess Annunitum), and the formulation suggests that

this is an office and not in a term that is applied ad hoc to whomever happened to be the vessel for the divine pronouncement. Since, for the first time in Mari texts, we have a name associated with the office, I may speculate further. 'Annu-tabni's name is unfortunately unattested elsewhere. But it can be noted that there are many names formed with the DN Annu (not to be confused with Anum), even though this deity does not appear beyond PNs. Annunitum's case is the exact opposite: she is so far never called upon as element in PNs! I wonder therefore, if Annu(nu) may actually be a shortened form of Annunitum when entered into personal names. [Note that Nakata had already argued for Annu as a goddess, JANES 5 (1973). 299f.] If so, "Annu-tabni" would have been a perfect name to give to one dedicated to the worship and command of Annunitum.

327 is discussed in Soubeyran's excellent overview on the gift-givings during ZL 2', XXIII, p. 344f. (text #446-451). There is a mystery with regards the name of Siptu's "mammy/nanny," given as zi-zi in some texts and u2-ušše in others (listings on pp. 397-398), and the discrepancy may be due to variations (nicknames?) of one Hurrian name, possibly pronounced something like \*(u)d-de.

328: see below. 329 details apportionment of orchards and vineyards to various individuals. The areas are fractions of an acre, generally between a quarter and one half. Some of the recipients belonged to the palace, the others may well have been local persons who doubtless received portions of the fruits upon harvest. 332 belongs to one category of memorandums in which notes are taken for future reference. The scribe seems to indulge in making observations which may well have been superfluous to bureaucratic record keeping. 333. Durand, MARI 4, 152-153) reads this text as a fragment from a dynastic list (šakkanakku period) and makes important restorations.

## ARMT XXII No. 328

No. 328 is a register for a series of land transactions involving a Warad-Sin who sought, by means of complex legal manœuverings, to solidify his control of a specific area in a section called ugar Ilaba (for a "wide" meaning for ugārum, see Lafont, XXIII, p. 224). This required him to purchase land elsewhere in order to complete transactions. It is not clear at all where this land is located: some of it seems to lie by of the ZL's palaces, but it cannot be said at which locality. The document is dated to ZL 23.xii.9', a period, we know, that began with ZL visiting the Northwest and included the war fought alongside Babylon. A number of documents from this year speaks of wholesale oath taking: in Guru-ilim); by profession (XXIII:235 -?.x.9'), by villagers (XXIII:86 -12.xi.9'), by Terga women (XXIII:236 -9.?.9') and in Mari's (?) citadel by palace women (VIII:88 [MARI 2, 69] -26.xii.9'). Similar occasions may well have occurred earlier in the same year (cf. XXIII:85-25.vi, oath by male functionaries). During that same year but under different circumstances (XXI:202 -10.vii.9') transferred land gives 1/2 shekel as the price paid for 1 iku, and this highlights the comparative value of Warad-Sin's land purchases. The text also refers to payments made to assorted notaries and gives formulations for the ceremonial acts done at the conclusion of each transaction. Those involved in one transaction reappear in another, and there is evidence that many were bound in kinship.

Warad-Sin himself remains difficult to pin down, if only because the name was likely owned by a number of contemporaries during ZL's reign, both Mariyites and foreigners. We could mention an important functionary of that name during the "Assyrian" period (V: 59; 60) or refer to the fact that a halsum was named after "Warad-Sin" (XXIII:594:8, 11); but this district was apparently not in Mari itself. Another possible link could be made with a son of a Warad-Sin, Sin-eribam, who was a rich landowner, but whose estate had to be liquidated by the king himself under circumstances that are not clear (VIII:36, 59, and XXIII:237; cf. Joannès's comments, pp. 214-216).

All the above only hints at the diversity of the issues provoked by this text, not to speak of the West Semiticisms embedded therein, and the recording of different versions of the same transactions in a pattern that is difficult to understand. In order to facilitate further study of it, therefore, I give here an outline of its various segments. On the right hand, in brackets, I append a few observations. I use the terms "fee, validation, attestation" without much conviction.

TRANSACTION I (i.1-i.55). Warad-Sin purchases outright 1 acre of land in the Ugar-ilaba from Tir-Annu for which he pays 10 shekels. Further, Tir-Annu cedes for the purpose of exchange (verb: SaKāD/Tum) 2 other acres in the same region. To replace these last 2 acres, Warad-Sin needed to purchase land in other sections of town.

Final result: Warad-Sin owns 3 acres in the Ugār-Ilaba.]

Aa. i.1-6. Purchase: A brief version of which is given in iii.54-iv.4.

WARAD-SIN BUYS FROM TIR-ANNU S. MUT-HAKKA 1 ACRE IN UGĀR-ILABA.

[s. Aba-AN!, i.50; iii:55] adjoining: field of Qišatum s. Anine price: [value: 10 sh./acre] fee: 1 sh. nēbiḥ Ilalakam, malki [malki not in iv.2]

**Ab.** i.7-9. Exchange of land.

TIR-ANNU S. (MUT)-HAKD!A CEDED TO WARAD-SIN FOR EXCHANGE 2 ACRES OF LAND IN THE UGĀR-ILABA.

B. i.10-14. This section specifies Warad-Sin's further obligation towards Tir-Annu.

IN EXCHANGE FOR HIS FIELD, [WARAD-SIN] GAVE [TIR-ANNU] 1 ACRE IN THE RESERVOIR AREA; HE ALSO GAVE HIM 1 ACRE, BELONGING TO YASSI-EL S. YATAR-NAR, IN THE CANE-BRAKES OF THE CEMETERY.1)

C. i.15-24. This section resumes the attestations and witnessing of A.

1/2 sh., Mutu-Dagan, kasadu2) fee:

> 0,0.1 oil, 3 PNs [i.35]

4 PNs [cf. i.38] witness:

attestation: [0,0.1 oil Durni-El]; scribe [iii.2]

validation: The elders broke bread

 $^1)$  Read i.12 ša $^{l}$  E  $_2$  ba $^{l}$ -la- $t^i$ -im as in i.25.  $^2)$  Added in iv.3-4:  $^1\!/_2$  sh. Mutu-Dagan s. Yassi-el/ <code>kasadu māhiş</code> GIS GAG HA. See note 10, below.

How Warad-Sin acquired Yatar-Nar's field D. i.25-40. mentioned above, in order to cede it to Tir.

WARAD-SIN BUYS FROM YATAR-NAR I ACRE OF LAND IN THE CANEBRAKES OF THE CEMETERY.

adjoining: 2. PN [10? sh.] price:

fee: [1 sh.] nēbih Ilalakam

> 1/2 sh. <> kasadum 0,0.1 oil, 3 PNs

3 PNs witness: [cf. i.20]

validation: The elders broke bread, drank beer

How Warad-Sin acquired land in the reser-E. i.41-55. voir in order to cede it to Tir-Annu. A composite version of this and the above transactions are repeated at N. (v.5-17+).

WARAD-SIN PURCHASES FROM IDIN-ANNU S. IDDINIM 1.06 ACRES OF LAND IN THE RESERVOIR OF THE TOWN3)

adjoining: Hammurabi

 $14^{-1}/_{2}$  sh. price: [value: 14 sh./acre]

"I have given 1 sh. nebili malkim" fee:

"I have given 1/4 sh. to Zanzanum" 4)

<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> sh. Yassi-dagan s. Iddinum[brother of seller]

0,0.30 ŠE Kabutum s. Hassum

Oišatum s. Aban witness:

[neighbor of Warad-Sin]

+ 3 PN

validation: The elders broke bread

???? [expect scribe's name]

[TRANSACTION 2 (i.56- [unclear end]).]

E. i56-ii.17. Warad Sin purchases [x] acre(s) in the Ugar-Ilaba from [?] for which he pays (x) shekels as well performs a certain act. After a break, the text resumes with payment statement.

[... WARAD-SIN PAYS] THIS PRICE AND nasbam issibšu.

adjoining: Sumu-lane in the ?

[not given] price:

0,1.0 SE nēbiḥ Nuhmina-Addu kasadu fee:

0.1.0 SE Išruhi-el

1 sh. Išdušu [cf. PN Išdiya, etc.]

1 sh. Zimri-Dagan

[plus 9 other men, many of whom are related or appear in other sections of the text, each receiving 1,0.0 of grain]

validation: The men broke bread, drank beer 0,1.0 ŠE Hammutar; 1 sh. Iddinum 5) [additions:]

G. ii.18-ii.28. Warad-Sin purchase land in the Akkadini, probably for exchange for field mentioned below in I.

WARAD-SIN BUYS A 1.1 ACRES FIELD IN THE AKKADINI FROM YASMAH-<?> S. ŠAMAŠ-BANI.

adjoining: Abi-şaduq [i.20, 38, ii.8]

on a second side, Yasmah-Addu

fronting on the walkway of the reservoir

price: 22 sh. [value: 20! sh./acre]

<sup>3)</sup> Read ina balittim ša alim as in iv.5-6. The last can now be completed as follows: 1 GAN, A.SA, ina bal[ittim]/ša āli[m u US2.SA.DU Hammurabi] A.S.A. 3. Iddin-Annu s. Iddi[nim]/u I GAN 2.A.S.A. 3. ima  $\bar{sur}[im.\bar{s}a$  E 2. balati im]/A.S.A. Iassi-el s. [Yatar-nar]/Warad-Sin [ $iddin\bar{s}um$ ]/ 0,0.1 oil Ha[ti-el s. Taban]/

<sup>4)</sup> It is difficult to explain the switch to the first person here. But it is not without parallel in legal contracts.

<sup>5)</sup> Read as PN, probably id-di-nim!.

witness:

1/2 sh. nēbih Abi-maras

[ii.24, 35; sons:59, v.5]

 $^{1}/_{2}$  sh., to 2 PN, [broken]

/3 sh. Yasmah-Addu kasadum

1 shawl Banuki-El 1 shawl Erra-abi

Warad-Sin purchases a field in the Akkadini which he gives [to whom?] in order to release a slave-woman.

WARAD-SIN PURCHASES A .85 ACRE FIELD IN THE AKKIDINI AREA FROM BANUKI-EL S.ILI-AŠRAYA.

the field of Ahu-waqar adjoining:

the palace

fronting on the walkway of the reservoir

[value: 17.65 sh./acre]

price ; THE FIELD IS GIVEN FOR THE RELEASE OF A FEMALE SLAVE (nawirtum6)

fee:

1/4 sh. Abi-maraş [cf. ii.24]

witness:

10 PN, many of whom are mentioned in other capacity

elsewhere

The elders broke bread, drank beer and wine, and smeared validation: each other with oil.

Warad-Sin purchases 1 acre in the arbahtum 1. ii.48-iii.3.

of the Ugār-Ilaba for a hefty sum. WARAD-SIN PURCHASES I ACRE IN THE ARBAHTUM [SECTION

OF THE UG AR-ILABA7)] FROM HABDU-HEL S. GA'IDIM.

adjoining:

field of Qišatum s. Aba-AN

field of the palace

22 sh. price:

[value: 22 sh./acre]

1.5 sh. nēbih Yasim-Addu fee: <sub>2</sub>/<sub>3</sub> sh. 15 gr. Idin-Abba kasadu <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> sh. Qišatum s. Aba-An [N.B.]

1/2 sh. Larim-Nar s. Larapuki-el

5 PN of men, some of whom mentioned above witness: validation: The elders broke bread, drank beer

attestation : 0,0.1 oil Durni-El, Scribe

J. iii.4-11. Warad-Sin purchases 1 acre in the Ugār-Ilaba. [Short version.]

WARAD-SIN PURCHASES A 1 ACRE FIELD IN THE UGAR-ILABA FROM (?)

adjoining: Ilak-halli's field

Tanuhma-el s. Ili-[?]

price : 10 sh.

[value: 10 sh./acre]

fee: 1 sh. nebih Ilalakam

K. iii.12-33. Warad-Sin purchases a half-acre field in the Ugār-Ilaba from a group!

WARAD-SIN PURCHASES A 1/2 ACRE FIELD IN THE UGAR-ILABA FROM "THESE MEN" HAMMI-KEN S. BURQAN, ADA-AN S. HAŞBI, ZAKIRUM S. BINYAMINA 8), YABIT-[X] S. YAHQUB-EL FOR [7])9).

anjoining: [x]ka-el

price:

5 sh.

[value: 10 sh./acre]

fee: 1/2 sh. nebih Ilalakam

> 0,0.10 sesame [read? "oil"?], [cf. i.15 ff.]

Mutu-Dagan (kasadu?) [one more PN, broken] 8 PN, repeated elsewhere

validation: The elders broke bread, drank beer

attestation: 0.0.1 oil nebih [x]

L. iii.34-44. Warad-Sin buys an awfully small plot in the Ugār-Ilaba.

WARAD-SIN PURCHASES A .08 ACRE PLOT IN THE UGAR-ILABA FROM IBAŠŠI S. DADU[X]

1 sh. price:

[value: ca. 12 sh./acre]

fee: 0,0.1 oil Laka'i[x]

4 PN, two of whom appear in K, above witness:

validation: The elders broke bread

M. iii.45-53. Warad-Sin buys an acre field in the Ugar-Ilaba. Fuller version in O (iv.10'-v.6), adds the name of 2 more "fee" takers and 4 witnesses.

WARAD-SIN BUYS A ONE ACRE FIELD IN THE UGAR-ILABA. IN THE ARBAHTUM OF I[DIN-ANNU], FROM YASDUQI-EL S. YAŠUB-[x].

price:

10 sh.

[value: 10 sh./acre] 1 sh. nebih Ilalakam

fee:

1 sh. Askur-Lim s. Hagalim, the kasadum, "sikkatum striker"

<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> sh. Yakunum s. Ganibum  $[iv.17': {}^{1}/_{3}! sh.]$ 

N. iii.54-iv.17.— perhaps even into iv.9′ — has versions of A, E, and perhaps also of one other section 10).

O. iv.10'-v.6. is fuller version of M.

Warad-Sin buys a remarkably inexpensive P. v.7-20. piece of land in the Zuhpa'i section, from a

WARAD-SIN PURCHASES A 5 ACRE FILED IN THE ZUHPA'I SECTION OF TOWN, FROM YAKUNUM, YASDUQA-EL, YAPAH-LIM, ASKUR-LIM, IHIL-PI-EL, SIDQU-IŠTAR, SUMU-LA'UMU, AND NUHMA-AN11)

price:

20 sh.

[value: 4 sh./acre]

[cf. vi.2"]

fee:

4 sh. nēbih Yakinum 12)

5 PN, some of whom mentioned earlier. witness: The elders broke bread, drank beer validation:

Q. v.21-30+. This is a summary account of land transacted, along the Habur (??), by Warad-Sin, as well as the "fees" imposed on him (?).

TOTAL:

2 ebel 4 iku of field of ???

[i.e. 16 iku] 10 sh. Išhi-erah s. Hili[x] [re-

ceived?] from Warad-Sin for the nebilitum. Witnessed by Mutu-Dagan, Yawi-el s. Ya[x],

Durni-El

2 (2) ugār 6 /2 kur 20 qa barley:

Išhi-Erah

3 sh. PN [broken]

nēbih [...]

R. v.?-vi.4". Very fragmentary, this section seems to repeat some information found in Q. S. vi.5"-8". Date: 23.xii.9'.

10) See note 2, with reference to iv.4. 11) Note that a number of persons from this group are known previously, acting as nēbiļjum, kasadum, etc.

Probably not a PN, but stands for amtum nawirtum, i.e. a slave. The price of trained slaves ranged from 15 to 20 sh., while that of the untrained around 8 sh.; cf. Durand, ARMT XXI, p. 193; Villard, XXIII, p. 499.

Cf. iii.45.
Two matters here: 1. This may not be a PN, but that of tribe, Two matters here: 1. The writing makes it clear hence translate, "Zakirum, a Benyaminite." 2. The writing makes it clear that calling this tribe "Yaminite, DUMU Yaminite," or the like, is a reticence that is no longer necessary; the name for the tribe is *Binyaminite*. A PN?, cf. v.23. But how to understand?

<sup>12)</sup> Note that the "fee" is remarkably high for the amount of silver involved in the transaction. Moreover, the nebihum's name seems the same as that of one of the sellers.

pīdum

## Subject index for ARMT XXI, XXIII

- 1. This is an index of selected topics that are covered in ARMT XXI and XXIII. XXI collects and discusses related terms under specific topics, for which see below. XXIII does have an "index des termes commentés" on pp. 635-638, and this will need to be consulted for fuller access to that particular volume. If information in XXI is repeated and supplemented in XXIII, I generally give only the latter;
  - 2. Indexing of topics in ARMT XXIII is always preceded
- by ||;
  3. Page number gives only the first page wherein a discussion begins;
- 4. Notes within a page are not identified; readers will easily locate the particular one for which they are searching.

agriculture	105
alum	250n.    142, 153
AM <sub>3</sub> (to indicate proportion)	312
Amorite (sheep)	195
Andariq (and Mari)	541
animals	193
artisans	295, 490n, 543
Babylonians (in Mari)	539
belts and sashes	417
Benyaminites	358
(leaders)	360
bītum	113, 418
bows	339n-340n    541
bread (rations)	291
brick (mold)	173
butchering	64    280
cattle	195, 235, 252, 548
(resold when sickly)	198
census	323, 361
chariot (and chariotry)	280    63, 174, 303, 441
clothing	393    15, 164, 200, 295
coffer (of the king)	iv, 47, 224
color (of textiles)	152, 161
compensation (for labor)	406
condiments	293
cultic acts (humt/tum)	114n
(pūdum)	386
(ramkūtum)	435
furnishings and weapons	} 331
dagger	345
debts (payment of)	214
deities (receive sacrifice)	243
disease	571
diviners	216, 560
dye	376
equids	279
fatteners (animal)	277
festivals and ceremonies (types)	26    202, 315, 556
fields	556, 590
flooding (of fields)	321
furniture	331, 545
GAL (vessels, variety of)	353
gamlum (weapon)	340
gates and doors	364
geography (international)	352
(provincial)	359, 481, 562
gifts (exchange of)	344, 536

CL DIGANIEL :	2225
GI PISAN SI 4-A	332n
GIŠ RU gizinakkum (festival)	336, 398n 130
glass	223
glue	376    133, 171
gold (workings)	194
grain (inventory)	550
habšišhum (weapon)	344
hallum	288 [cf. MARI 3, 109]
harem	570
hauberk	205
headgear	421
hiāqum (cutting wine)	105
hillātum	531 (cf. 224, MARI 3, 109)
hurpalûm (weapon)	344
jars	192
Jewelry	189
kanakurtum (=? kanaktum)	120n
kannum (vase?)	361
Karsum (read now: Carchemish)	110
k/diziptuḫḫum	131n
knife (as weapon)	345
lance	342
la-w∪-a	450n
leather	367    140
linnens (furnishings)	425
levy (of troops)	594
liberation (of captured personnel) litum (covering)	476 296n
lurakkûm	98n
Magan (writing of.)	270n
malākum	66
"mammy/nanny" (ummum)	72
maqqabum/naqqabum	308n
Marat-altim (goddess)	42n
marḥasum	32n
maškātum/mashātum	272n
Meat (Butchering of animals)	64    223, 279
measure (solid)	98
memorandums	87, 533
merchants	515
mēšertum	66
Metal (and metal-working)	190    447
metereological (observations)	54, 80, 100
military (rank)	517    378, 383, 565
musical instruments	175, 191
muttatum (an ornament?)	246n
nails	365
nalpattum/nalpātum	298n
Naramtum (princess) necklace	72, 318 226
oath taking	
oil (depots)	74, 211, 217, 559    418
(scented)	417
(vegetable, animal)	126    281, 415
(workshop for perfumers)	419
ordeal (river)	77
packaging (types of)	102
pagrā'um (cadaver sacrifice)	160n.    533
pantheon (Mari)	16n.    243
perfume	127
phonological features	161, 183, 223, 450
nidam	2

14,	
piety (royal)	340 18n
"plow" (unit)	109
1-16	189
ram (weapon)	346
ram (weapon) rank (using unusual numbering)	527n
rations	107, 226, 554
	559
-word-keeping (techniques)	347, 551
(haggage)	448n
eaerifice (cattle and sheep)	16    231
(donkey !)	47 [cf. MARI 3, 109]
(locales for)	245
(types of)	21    231
(by women)	]  248
3a sikk/qqi	509
sheep (presentations of)	1ff.
sheering (sheep)	295
shoes and hoses	422
slaves	193
soap	126
soldiers	560
spirits (fermented)	290
stone	191    448
(precious)	222
strings and tendons	139
šūbultum	399, 512
suqāqūtum	3    197
sukkukūtum (personnel)	532n
Sumerian PNs (pronunciation)	450
Şuri-hammu (visit of)	17
šūrubtum (MU.DU)	1, 512
šutia (unusual writing of)	180, 162
tablet (destruction of)	585
(with ochre markings)	523 [cf. MARI 3, 258]
(with stylus markings)	XXII, 496n.
(perforation in)	16n    232, 384
(reuse)	87
tallow	303
tamlitum (drawing wine)	106
tanning (of hide)	141
lax (melqētum)	224
textiles	194, 393    149
tin	527
tubqum/tubuqtum	523
TUG <sub>2</sub> (order in lists and variety)	395
labrum (hišrum)	428n
temmenu (in LU, ša t.)	555n
terhātum	274
terrace (alluvial- rakībum)	411
travel (equipment)	102
(to Aleppo/ Ugarit?)	457 (cf. v-vi)
Ugarit (and Mari)	474, 475n
ussuhum/uzzuhum	408n
(personnel)	531n
vessel (vase)	350
(types of)	360
weapon(rv)	336    103
Wheels	288    314
wine (manufacture and storage)	104    193, 536
(in international trade)	284
wood (trade and gift)	444, 547
(ma 1)	101 11 100 100 516

191 || 133, 439, 546

(working)

The University of North Carolina, Jack M. Sasson June 1985

\* \*

ARCHIVES ROYALES DE MARI. XXIV. Textes administratifs des salles "Y et Z" du palais de Mari. Publiés par Philippe TALON. Paris, A.D.P.F.; Éditions Recherche sur les Civilisations, 1985 (I. Textes. P. v + 297; II. Planches Pp. XIII + 144 planches.) Prix: 161 F. ISBN 2 86538 099 8.

Philippe Talon edits here 316 tablets recovered from phantom rooms labelled Y and Z. I say "phantom," because no one seems to know to which rooms Y and Z refer, since the labels were applied to specific rooms of the palace in the early days of Parrot's excavations. In a letter to Talon (see avant-propos). Dossin suggests rooms 51 (a courtyard, apparently) and 171 (a cul-de-sac), at the NW corner of the palace (See Margueron, Palais mésopotamiens, I, index, s.n.). Tablets had been found in these rooms (contra Talon). respectively X:103, 161 and X:105, 117, 134, but the proposal nevertheless seems unlikely. Dossin had apparently also suggested to Durand (cited, by Talon in the same pages) that these tablets came from 160 (edited in XXI). However, I agree with Talon that the years-spread of his documents parallels better the archives stored in rooms 5 and 110 (ARM IX, XII, and VII). In particular, there is a larger percentage of texts dating to the last of ZL's (ZL = Zimri-Lim) rather than the earlier years (see also below, sub. 261-262, for an additional piece of evidence). We should be able to get a better handle on this problem when the volume containing texts from ZL 7'-12' (announced in MARI 4, p. 9) is published.

Talon has provided his edition with a limited number of philological remarks, sometimes being (too) reticent to alert the reader to unusual features in individual texts. His commentary section is likewise brief, addressing issues dealing with wine, metals, and personnel activities. This is so probably because he had published articles on relevant topics in various journals (listed on. 211) as well as because the publication of XXI-XXIII, which occurred too late for Talon's profitable use, will in any case force major reassessment on many of these subjects. On the other hand, Talon has provided the reader with some very useful indexes on pp. 233-299 of vol I, as well as the first dozen pages of vol II. I cannot find, however, the tabulation of dated documents which Talon mentions on p. iv of volume I. This is a pity, and I hope that future volumes will feature a catalogue such as the one Kupper offers in XXII/2, pp. 544-553.

The volume holds some surprises: A new year formula for Shamshi-Adad (#165), broken as it is, refers to him as